

Solicitors' Journal & Reporter.

LONDON, MARCH 22, 1879.

CONTENTS.

JOURNAL.

CURRENT TOPICS:—	
The New Registrar of the Chancery Division	399
The Payment by the Treasury of Lord Penzance's Expenses in Martin v. Mackonochie	399
The Solicitor for Public Prosecutions	399
The Bills of Sale Act, 1878	400
Extrinsic Evidence of Intention of Testator	400
LEADERS:—	
The Construction of Specifications	401
The Summary Jurisdiction Bill	402
RECENT DECISIONS	403
REVIEW	403
GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE	404
CASES OF THE WEEK	404
APPOINTMENTS, ETC.	407
SOCIETIES	408
LEGAL NEWS	408
OBITUARY	409
HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE	409
LEGISLATION OF THE WEEK	410
CHIEF PAPERS	410
PUBLIC COMPANIES	412
LONDON GAZETTES, &c., &c.	412

NOTES OF CASES.

Adair v. Young	404
Price, In re	404
Loaming v. Murray	405
Denson v. Gillies	405
London and County Banking Company v. Dover	405
Harrison v. Wearing	406
Edulis v. Visser	406
Or Ewing & Co. v. Johnson & Co.	406
Knight v. Purcell	406
Graeven v. Fleming	407

REPORTS.

Adams v. Hagger (App.)	402
Ragot v. Easton (No. 2) (Ch.Div. V.C.B.)	404
Hill's Settlement, In re (Ch.Div. Fry, J.)	409
Re v. Jubb (Ex.Div.)	415
Cremetti v. Crom (Q.B.Div.)	411
De la Grange v. McAndrew and others (Q.B.Div.)	413
Dillon, Ex parte. In re Woods (App.)	401
Frimley v. Hinde (Q.B.Div.)	413
Gay v. Labouchere (Q.B.Div.)	413
Graeven v. Taylor (Q.B.Div.)	413
Graeven v. Keene (Ex.Div.)	416
Longbourne v. Fisher (Ch.Div. V.C.B.)	405
Meredith v. Treffry (Ch.Div. V.C.H.)	406
Müller v. Beal (Ch.Div. M.R.)	405
Pepperell (deceased), In re. Pepperell v. Chamberlain (Ch.Div. Fry, J.)	410
Reiter v. Chambers (Q.F.Div.)	414
Reilly v. Read (Ex.Div.)	414
Roper's Trusts, In re (Ch.Div. Fry, J.)	408
Stalheimdt v. Walford (Q.B.Div.)	412
Wood, Ex parte. In re Wright (App.)	401

To CORRESPONDENTS.—All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer. The Editor cannot undertake to return MSS. forwarded to him. Subscribers can have their Volumes bound at the Office—cloth, 2s. 6d., half law calf, 5s.

CURRENT TOPICS.

Mr. E. J. CONBY has been appointed a Registrar of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice in succession to Mr. Frank Milne, who recently resigned his office after a service of fifty-one years.

SIR STAFFORD NORTHCOTE'S REPLY to Sir U. Kay-Shuttleworth, with reference to the payment of Lord Penzance's expenses on the appeal in *Martin v. Mackonochie*, is inaccurate in many particulars. In the first place, the appearance of the judge to whom a writ of prohibition is directed to defend his own jurisdiction is most unusual, although not entirely without precedent. Thus, in the great case of *Mayor of London v. Cox* (L. R. 2 H. L. 239), the Corporation pleaded to a declaration in pro-

hibition raising the question of the jurisdiction of the Lord Mayor's Court. But Mr. Justice Willes, in delivering his opinion in the House of Lords, whilst remarking (at p. 280) that the application for prohibition may be made either against the party, or against the judge, or against both, goes on to state that in modern practice "it is usually the party and very rarely the court that shows cause against the rule and pleads to the declaration." Lord Penzance, therefore, in himself appearing to defend his own jurisdiction, has departed from the usual course. Further, we believe that the payment of a judge's expenses by the Treasury under such circumstances is wholly unprecedented. The Chancellor of the Exchequer admitted that this was so as regards Lord Penzance himself, and gave the extraordinary reason that the learned judge's court was only very recently established. Now it is quite true that as judge of the court set up by the Public Worship Regulation Act, 1874, Lord Penzance's powers are modern. But it was not as judge under the new Act but as Dean of the Arches and Official Principal of the Province of Canterbury that Lord Penzance suspended Mr. Mackonochie; and the suit in which the suspension issued was one commenced long before the new Act was passed. The authority contended for by the judge is justified by him as incident to the venerable office which he holds as successor of Sir Robert Phillimore, Dr. Lushington, and a long line of illustrious predecessors. Prohibition has been applied for and has gone times without number, from the time of Lord Coke downwards, to the Provincial Court of Canterbury, but we doubt if a single case can be quoted in which the Dean of Arches himself has undertaken the task of asserting his own authority, and we are sure not one can be cited in which he has done so at the public expense. The Dean is not one of her Majesty's judges; he is the judge of the Archbishop; and so far as we can see, has no more title to ask the public to pay his costs than the Chancellor of the Consistorial Court of any diocese in the kingdom.

SOME EXPLANATION was given on Wednesday evening, in the House of Commons, of the objects of the Bill for more effectually providing for the prosecution of offences, to which we drew attention some weeks ago. It will be remembered that the Bill authorizes the appointment of an officer, to be called the Solicitor for Public Prosecutions, whose duty it is to be to undertake, under the superintendence of the Attorney-General, such criminal proceedings as may be prescribed by regulations under the Act or directed by the Attorney-General. This Solicitor for Public Prosecutions is to have an office, with such assistants, officers, clerks, &c., as may seem necessary for the proper execution of his duties, such assistants, &c., being appointed by the Attorney-General with the approval of a Secretary of State. But if we read Mr. Cross's speech aright, the Government have no intention of interfering with the existing system of conducting prosecutions. Their scheme is to appoint a public prosecutor, who will be a permanent officer, and will have in London a staff to assist him, and also assistants in the country at different places. His duties will be, as described in clause 2 of the Bill, under the superintendence of the Attorney-General, to institute, undertake, or carry on such criminal proceedings and to give such advice and assistance to chief officers of police, clerks to justices, and other persons, whether officers or not, concerned in any criminal proceeding, respecting the conduct of that proceeding, as may be for the time being prescribed by regulations under the Act, or directed in a special case by the Attorney-General. But the Solicitor for Public Prosecutions will not, Mr. Cross expressly stated, "act as a solicitor in getting up cases;" and he added that the Government did not desire to interfere with the duties of the Solicitor to the Treasury. The Attorney-General described the proposed official as a "high legal

functionary who should have the supervision of all prosecutions, and have the right to institute prosecutions, or to intervene where he thought it necessary." Apart from the cost of carrying out the scheme, we see no great objection to it; neither do we see that any considerable gain to the public is likely to result from it. But we do see that the result of the creation of the new official will be both to relieve the Attorney-General of much work, and to give him much patronage; and it seems likely that if Sir John Holker has to speak at any agricultural dinners this autumn, he will be able to take a less gloomy view of his position than he did last autumn at Clitheroe.

IT IS VERY DESIRABLE that more light should be thrown on the authorship of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878. We have already discovered that it is to certain noble and learned lords we are indebted for the ludicrously impractical clause at the end of section 6. But who framed the new provisions in sections 5 and 10? Section 5 provides, as our readers are aware, that, "from and after the commencement of this Act, trade machinery shall, for the purposes of this Act, be deemed to be personal chattels, and any mode of disposition of trade machinery by the owner thereof which would be a bill of sale as to any other personal chattels shall be deemed to be a bill of sale within the meaning of this Act." Now, it is clear that all assignments of personal chattels not within the exceptions in the definition of "bill of sale" in section 4 are bills of sale "within the meaning of the Act," although under section 8 only unregistered bills of sale of chattels which, after the periods therein named, remain in the possession or apparent possession of the person making the bill of sale are to be deemed fraudulent and void. Therefore, an assignment of trade machinery, the actual and apparent possession of which are given up by the vendor to the purchaser at or before the time of the execution of the assignment, is, nevertheless, a bill of sale "within the meaning of the Act." Now, turning to the last clause but one of section 10, we find it provided that "in case two or more bills of sale are given, comprising in whole or in part any of the same chattels, they shall have priority in the order of the date of their registration, respectively, as regards such chattels." The literal meaning of this would seem to be that, although such an assignment of trade machinery as is mentioned above would not although unregistered be fraudulent or void, yet, if the person who made the unregistered bill of sale afterwards assigned the same trade machinery by a registered bill of sale, the latter would have priority! There can be little doubt, of course, that the courts would construe the clause in section 10 as having reference exclusively to bills of sale which, if unregistered, would be fraudulent and void—that is, to bills of sale of chattels not to be removed from the apparent possession of the maker of the bill of sale; and to bills of sale by way of mortgage. But why was not this expressed in the clause?

THE DECISION in *Re Fearn's Will* (27 W. R. 392) appears to us, if we may say so deferentially, not much calculated to promote the belief that law is the quintessence of common sense. A lady bequeathed a legacy to the treasurer of "the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel among the Jews." There is no society with exactly that name, but there is a society called the "British Society for the Propagation of the Gospel among the Jews," and this society stated that it was commonly known by the name used by the testatrix. Was there any ambiguity here so as to open the way for parol evidence of intention? According to Wigram on Extrinsic Evidence, cited by Lord Chelmsford in *Charter v. Charter* (L. R. 7 H. L. 364), "it is only where in a written instrument the description of the person or thing intended is applicable with reasonable certainty

to each of several subjects that extrinsic evidence is admissible to establish which of such subjects was intended by the testator." Well, was there here any other society to which the description was "applicable with reasonable certainty?" There is a society called "The London Society for promoting Christianity among the Jews," but this is a name not at all resembling that the testatrix used, and the testatrix's description is not applicable. It is to be observed that the testatrix purported to quote a name, not to describe an object. It may be reasonable enough, where a testatrix describes the institution to which she wishes her bounty to go by reference to its object only—as in *Re Kelvert's Trusts* (20 W. R. 225)—to say that the existence of two societies with similar objects raises an ambiguity; but the same cannot be said where the testatrix professes to quote a name, and one society has that name with but the omission of a single word, and the other society has not that name, nor any name at all like it. The learned Vice-Chancellor, however, thought that *Re Kelvert's Trusts* was applicable, and accordingly held that parol evidence of intention was admissible.

On the 14th inst., Mr. B. T. Williams, Q.C., M.P., presided at the thirteenth annual meeting of the Inns of Court Lending Library, held at the library, 4, Fig Tree-court, which was instituted for the purpose of supplying barristers' clerks with good literature. The chairman, in his address to the members, spoke of the benefit which such a library must be to barristers' clerks, many of whom had so much time to spare, which by means of reading might be turned to profitable account. Votes of thanks were given to the benchers of the Middle and Inner Temples and to the chairman.

A dinner was held on Wednesday evening at the Grosvenor Gallery Restaurant by members of the Midland and North-Eastern Circuits to celebrate Mr. Justice Stephen's recent elevation to the bench, the learned judge having at different times belonged to both circuits. Mr. Alfred Wills, Q.C., presided, and was supported by Mr. Justice Manisty. Among those present were Mr. Littler, Q.C., Mr. Butt, Q.C., Mr. Waddy, Q.C., M.P., Mr. Cave, Q.C., Mr. Campbell Foster, Q.C., Mr. Buzard, Q.C., Mr. Lawrence, Q.C., Mr. Flowers (Bow-street magistrate), Master Manley Smith, Mr. Bruce (Leeds magistrate), Sir Adam Bittleston, Mr. Beasley, Mr. G. Bruce, Mr. Dugdale, the Hon. Chandos Leigh, Mr. Coleridge, Mr. Shield, Mr. Ewins Bennett, Mr. F. Lockwood, Mr. W. Graham, Mr. Forbes, Mr. Wilberforce, Mr. H. Smith, Mr. Carter, Mr. Kennedy, Mr. Morley, &c. Mr. Justice Mellor and Mr. Mellor, Q.C., were prevented from being present by the recent death of a near relative.

The visiting justices of Salford Prison, Manchester, in concert with magistrates in various parts of the kingdom, have convened a national meeting of visiting justices to assemble at the Westminster Palace Hotel, London, on April 2, at noon (Mr. Hibbert, M.P., in the chair), for the purpose of discussing the mode of administering prisons under the new Act. The meeting will be invited to pronounce its opinion as to the tendency of the new rules, the question of centralization, the desirability of local management of gaols, and of the residence of the prison commissioners and inspectors in their respective districts; also as to the audit of accounts and the system of prison contracts. They complain that some of the contracts made by the new central board are much more expensive for the same classes of supplies than under the old system with the supervision of district magistrates familiar with local prices. Various other grounds of dissatisfaction with the Prison Board are alleged by the Salford justices, and, finding that much dissatisfaction also exists among the magistrates of other districts and counties, they have convened the meeting at Westminster next month. The local sub-committee for arranging the meeting consists of Mr. Charles L. Clare, deputy-chairman of the prison justices; Mr. Alfred Aspland, of Dukinfield, chairman of the Manchester Prisoners' Aid Society; and Mr. R. J. Walker, of the county police-court, Manchester.

THE CONSTRUCTION OF SPECIFICATIONS.

ONE of the most important branches of patent law is that relating to the principles upon which the court construes the specifications of patents which are impeached for alleged want of novelty. On the principle that a monopoly was "altogether contrary to the laws of this realm," the judges who decided the earlier cases adopted a mode of construction precisely the reverse of that applicable to deeds, and construed a patent as strongly as possible against the *grantee*. The chief reason for this was, of course, that the specification was prepared by, or on behalf of, the patentee himself; and it was held that, in return for the great advantage of a monopoly for fourteen years, he was bound to make a full and fair discovery to the public of the nature of his invention.

But, in 1831, Lord Tenterden, C.J., remarked: "I cannot forbear saying that I think a great deal too much critical acumen has been applied to patents, as if the object was to defeat and not to sustain them" (*Ballett v. Haque*, 2 B. & A. 377), and shortly afterwards in *Russell v. Copley* (1 Webs. P. C. 470), Parke, B., said: "I think we ought to read this patent without a disposition to upset it, which has been too frequently the case in many instances on such subjects; we ought to read it fairly in order to understand what the meaning of the patentee is."

From that time to the present, what is often called the "benevolent" mode of construction has been adopted. This mode of construction has been, from time to time, explained to mean that the court is "not to be astute to deprive persons of the benefits to be derived" from their inventions; that "every patent should be construed favourably to the patentee"; that the court ought "not to intend anything in favour of a specification, . . . and certainly not anything against it"; that a "forced construction" is to be avoided, and a "fair and liberal construction" adopted; and that the specification should be construed *ut magis valeat quam pereat*. And in two recent cases (*Hinks v. Safety Lighting Company*, L. R. 4 Ch. D. 612; *Plimpton v. Spiller*, 26 W. R. 285), the rule was laid down by Jessel, M.R., as follows:—"It is the duty of a judge to construe a specification fairly, with a judicial anxiety to support a really useful invention, if it can be supported upon a reasonable interpretation of the patent."

Yet, notwithstanding this so-called "benevolent" mode of construction, it frequently happens that a patent for a really meritorious invention is held invalid for breach of the cardinal rule that a patentee must not claim what is old, or well known, at the time of his invention. In patents relating to machinery, for instance, it is almost impossible for a specification to fulfil the condition of the letters patent, by particularly describing and ascertaining the nature of the invention, without also describing articles or appliances that are well known; and if the patent is for a new or improved machine it becomes necessary to distinguish what is claimed as new from what is admitted to be old. Specifications are seldom artistically drawn; and although the claims with which they usually conclude are introduced for the express purpose of disclaiming every part of the prior description not included in the claims (*Kay v. Marshall*, 2 Webs. P. C. 39), they are often inadvertently extended to something which turns out to be old, in which case it has usually been held that the claim goes beyond the invention and renders the patent bad for want of novelty.

Although a patent for a new combination of articles or appliances, all or some of which are admitted to be old, is good (*Crane v. Price*, 1 Webs. P. C. 393), on the ground that there is novelty in the combination, Lord Westbury, C., held in *Foxwell v. Bostock* (4 De G. J. & S. 313) that even "in a patent for an improved arrangement or new combination of machinery the specification must describe the improvement and define the novelty in a more specific form than

by the general description of the entire machine." It had previously been held in *Lister v. Leather* (8 E. & B. 1004) that a patent for a combination protects every part of such combination which is new and material, even though there may be no express claim for a combination; and Williams, J., said that a patentee need not disclaim what manifestly formed no part of the invention (*Ibid.* 1034). Both these cases were recently considered by the House of Lords; and it was then laid down that a claim for a combination without more is, in itself, a sufficient description of a novel invention; and that, in such a case, it is unnecessary to distinguish the new and the old parts from each other (*Harrison v. Anderston Foundry Company*, L. R. 1 App. Cas. 574). But if the patentee wishes also to protect any separate part of the combination, he must claim it separately, and prove it to be new and useful (*Clark v. Adie*, 26 W. R. 45).

Broadly speaking, the result of these authorities is that a specification which describes both old and new articles or appliances is good if it claims separately only those which are new, whether or not it also claims a new combination of those which are old. And in the recent skate case (*Plimpton v. Spiller*, 26 W. R. 285) a claim for an old mode of securing the runners of skates and making them reversible was read as subsidiary to a previous claim for applying the runners to the footstand of the skate, so as to produce a new and useful result. Both the Master of the Rolls and the Court of Appeal held that the mode of applying the runners (a mere clamp) was so well known that it would be impossible to suppose that the patentee intended to claim it as a substantive invention, but that he was "claiming it as one of the merits and advantages of the entire construction which he has before given." This was certainly a "fair and reasonable construction," although it scarcely went so far in the patentee's favour as the decision in *Fearson v. Los* (27 W. R. 185). In that case the patent was for an improved mode of making screws, and the inventor claimed the making of nicks deepest in the centre and terminating within the head of the screw, but it turned out that screws had previously been made with nicks which terminated within the head. It was held that, after eliminating that portion of the claim, the residue was new and useful, and the patent therefore good. But in a more recent case (*Roberts v. Heywood*, ante, p. 338) Hall, V.C., held that where a separate substantive claim for a well-known article is made the specification is bad, although such article may be part of a new combination also separately claimed; and he declined to read the specification either as if the old article had not been claimed or as if it had been claimed merely as a subsidiary part of the general combination. This decision is in accordance with the view expressed in *Plimpton v. Spiller*, where, after holding that a merely subsidiary claim of an old article might be good, Brett, L.J., said that "if a really independent claim of something which is not new, however inadvertently or carelessly it may be made, is, in fact, made on the face of the patent, the court is bound to hold that the patent is therefore objectionable."

The result appears to be that a claim which includes what is old, but also embraces something new and useful, or a subsidiary claim of what is old, will not necessarily invalidate the patent, but that a separate substantive claim of an old article or appliance will render it bad. In the latter case—where the patentee could often cure the defect the next week by filing a disclaimer—it might be well to give the court a power of amending a specification upon terms at the hearing, somewhat analogous to the power which it now possesses of permitting the amendment of pleadings.

In the absence of any such power, it is desirable for all inventors to act upon the advice given by Pollock, C.B., in *Crossley v. Potter* (1 Mac. P. C. 256): "In my mind the safest course for patentees to adopt, in framing their specifications, is, instead of claiming everything, to confine themselves specifically to one

good thing," in which case the court will read the specifications "with a judicial anxiety to support" their inventions.

THE SUMMARY JURISDICTION BILL.

II.

CLAUSES 18—24, which deal with registration of convictions, remands, and other matters, do not call for any special notice, except that with respect to the humane paragraph in clause 17 "that the wearing apparel and bedding of a person and his family and to the value of five pounds, the tools and implements of his trade shall not be taken under a distress issued by a court of summary jurisdiction," we would suggest the omission of the words italicised. We do not see any reason for conceding the exemption as to tools in the grudging spirit suggested by the Bill, which in practice would only lead to tiresome disputes as to the value of the tools.

We now approach the model appeal clause. It is provided by clause 25 that "where any person is authorized by this Act, or by any Act of Parliament, passed after the commencement of this Act, to appeal" from a conviction or order, "he may appeal to such court, subject to the conditions following"—i.e.—[seven sub-sections follow, as to notice, recognizances, and costs, &c.] It would occupy far too great a space to criticize the model clause in detail, and we are quite prepared to take it as an eclectic clause, containing everything that is good in, and rejecting everything that is bad from, the hundred and one appeal clauses scattered over the Statute Book. We have compared it with the appeal clause in the Licensing Act, 1872 (section 52), with that in the Adulteration Act, 1875 (section 23), and with that in the Public Health Act, 1875 (section 269); clauses selected at random, but which we should think happen to come more into practical use than any other three sets of clauses of their kind. Now in all three cases they differ, and that not slightly, both from the model clause and from each other. Would it be too much of a revolution to make the model clause *retrospective*, or, at least, to select certain well-known statutes, such as those which we have mentioned, and incorporate the model clause into them? The gain in simplification of justices' law would be very great, and the appellant, who would get more favourable terms in some cases, and less favourable terms in others, had, it must be borne in mind, *no common law right of appeal*, so that no very great obligation arises to consider his feelings in the matter. This is a serious question, and it will, we hope, receive the careful consideration of the Select Committee to whom the Bill has been referred. Perhaps an appellant might be allowed to use the model clause or the existing clause applicable to his own case at his option, duly signified in writing to the clerk of the justices and the respondent. A similar observation arises upon clause 26, which allows the court to annex conditions to an order to do anything other than to pay money.

Clause 31, which surely ought to have preceded, not followed, clauses 25 and 26, deals with pleading and forms of warrants of commitment, and clause 32, avoiding the effect of *Reg. v. Chantrell* (23 W. R. 707), very properly dispenses with *certiorari* as a preliminary to the statement of a case by quarter sessions. Clause 31 is partly modelled upon section 51 of the Licensing Act, 1872, but it fails to provide, as that section does (and as the Adulteration Act and the Employers and Workmen Act do), that the defendant may give evidence. This, too, is a question which must be faced by the Select Committee. If the publican, the grocer, and the keelman may be heard in his own defence, why may not defendants generally on summary proceedings be so heard? This clause will also, we hope, raise the question how far amendments of informations are to be permitted. The clause provides (following the common form) that "the description of any offence in the words of the

Act creating the offence, or in similar words, shall be sufficient in law." But how, if one offence is sufficiently charged, and another sufficiently proved? It might be thought that such a case is provided for by 11 & 12 Vict. c. 43, s. 1, which provides that no objection shall be taken for "variance," but that if the variance shall have been such as to mislead, the hearing may be adjourned. But *Martin v. Pidgeon* (1 E. & E. 778) appears to show that this section does not apply if the information is upon one statute and the conviction upon another. In that case the information was for riotous drunkenness under the Town Police Clauses Act, 1847, and the conviction was for simple drunkenness under the (since repealed) statute of James, and it was held (we have been inclined to think wrongly, but the case has since, we believe, been followed without dissent) that the conviction must be quashed. Surely a simple case of common technical informality like this should be provided for (see the cases collected in Stone's Justice, 8th ed., pp. 155, 156).

The 35th clause, which regulates the execution of distress warrants, calls for a few remarks. In the first place, it surely ought to be consolidated with clause 17, above noticed, so far as that clause relates to distress. In the second place, the words "where a person charged with the execution of a warrant of distress retains from the produce of any goods sold to satisfy the distress, or otherwise exacts any greater costs and charges than those to which he is for the time being entitled by law, or makes any improper charge," appear to be too vague. Why should not a schedule of legal charges (for which a model may be found in 57 Geo. 3, c. 93) be appended to the statute?

The 36th clause had almost escaped our notice; but it is so reasonable and proper that it is strange it should not have been law long ago. The clause in question merely provides that "where any property shall have been taken from a person charged with any offence . . . the court shall, if of opinion that the property can be returned consistently with justice, and with the safe custody of the person charged," direct such property to be returned.

It remains to notice those clauses which provide for the fusing of the old with the new law. The most important is this: "Where any unrepealed Act of Parliament incorporates or refers to any provisions of any Act hereby repealed, such unrepealed Act shall be deemed to incorporate or refer to the corresponding provisions of this Act." A difficulty may perhaps arise as to the meaning of "corresponding"; but a similar clause has already been inserted in the Public Health Act, 1875, and the Factory Act, 1878, and we cannot suggest any better wording. We have already pointed out that not a single section of Jervis' Act, 11 & 12 Vict. c. 43, is repealed, and we would express a hope that it may not become necessary to repeal any part of that Act, which we take to be one of the best drawn upon the statute-book. It is provided, however, by clause 24 (which, it seems, would have been placed more properly at the end of the Bill) that the Lord Chancellor may make "rules" "adapting to the provisions of this Act, and of the Summary Jurisdiction Act, 1848 [Jervis' Act], the procedure under any Act passed before the Summary Jurisdiction Act, 1848," and may also alter the forms contained in that Act. We do not like this clause. The forms in Jervis' Act are permissive only, and may easily be adapted by practitioners themselves. And we think the Home Secretary, not the Lord Chancellor, is the proper official to be armed with the power of "alteration" given by the clause.

We have now noticed the leading clauses of the Bill. As a whole, it contrasts very favourably with the Coroners Bill which we recently noticed; that is to say, it proposes certain definite and substantial alterations of the law, which a select committee may, with ease, shape into a useful and workable Act of Parliament.

Recent Decisions.

AGREEMENT FOR PAYMENT OF PROPERTY TAX BY TENANT.

(Lamb v. Brewster, Q. B. D. 27 W. R. 395.)

This is a decision which sets aside a judicial explanation of long standing as to the meaning and object of the provision in the Property Tax Act (5 & 6 Vict. c. 35), s. 103, that all contracts, &c., for the payment of rent without allowing a deduction of the property tax shall be utterly void. In *Denby v. Moore* (1 B. & Ald., at p. 128), Bayley, J., said, "it seems to me that the clause in the Act of Parliament enabling the court to deduct [the property tax] was framed with this very view, viz., that the most improved rent for the land might thus be obtained, and be the sum on which the tax is payable; but if the tenant be allowed not to deduct immediately, but to go on paying for many years, and then to call on the landlord to repay him altogether, that will have a tendency to defraud the revenue. The tenant will thereby have a great advantage. If he does not, as in the case before the court, deduct the £10, it is an admission on his part that the land which is let to him for £100 is worth £110. But if so, the Government ought to have received £11 per annum, and not £10 which they have done."

In *Lamb v. Brewster* an agreement was made between landlord and tenant that if the tenant "would continue to pay the rent in full without deducting anything for payments of the property tax, the landlord would repay him all the sums which he had paid, or should pay, for the property tax." The action was brought by the tenant to recover property tax paid by him for three years as tenant of the defendant's testator, and the defendant raised by demurrer the question whether the alleged promise by the landlord and the consideration therefor were not contrary to the provisions of the Property Tax Acts.

Now, taking the language of section 103 of 5 & 6 Vict. c. 35, literally, we venture to think there could be no doubt as to the answer to this question. The agreement was certainly a contract "made and entered into for payment of rent in full without allowing such deduction." And according to Mr. Justice Bayley, it matters not that the landlord undertook to repay the tenant at some future time. But, as Field, J., remarked, "larger ideas are now held" upon the construction of statutes than prevailed in the benighted age when Lord Ellenborough and Bayley, J., applied their minds to this subject. The learned judges in the recent case considered that the meaning of section 103 is that only contracts for the ultimate payment of rent in full by the tenant, without any deduction for property tax, are made void; and since the contract before them was not an agreement that the tenant should ultimately bear the tax, it was not void.

We can hardly think that the attention of the learned judges can have been sufficiently directed either to the meaning of "such deduction" or to the provisions of section 73, which enacts that no agreement between landlord and tenant touching the payment of taxes to be charged on their respective premises "shall be deemed to extend to the duties charged thereon under this Act, nor to be binding contrary to the intent and meaning of this Act; but all such duties shall be charged upon and paid by the respective occupiers, subject to such deductions and repayments as are by this Act authorized and allowed, and all such deductions and repayments shall be made and allowed accordingly, notwithstanding such covenants or agreements." Now, the deduction authorized in the Act is deduction out of the next payment of rent; and when section 73 provides that, notwithstanding any agreement between landlord and tenant as to the payment of taxes, deduction out of the

next rent "shall be made and allowed," it appears to afford a tolerably clear guide to the meaning of the provision in section 103 making void agreements "for payment of rent in full without allowing such deduction."

Reviews.

HOUSE OF LORDS PRACTICE.

THE PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS IN ENGLISH, SCOTCH, AND IRISH APPEAL CASES UNDER THE APPELLATE JURISDICTION ACT, 1876. By C. M. DENISON and C. H. SCOTT, Esqs., Barristers-at-Law. Butterworths.

There was certainly an opening for a book on the House of Lords practice since the alterations consequent on the passing of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, and Messrs. Denison and Scott have produced a treatise not only dealing with the practice and procedure, but also with the history of the appellate jurisdiction. The last subject occupies the introduction, which winds up with the statement that, since the reform accomplished by the Act of 1876, "the court has been so constituted as to inspire a perfect reliance in the justice and wisdom of its decisions." Chapters 1 and 2 relate to the appellate jurisdiction and the constitution of the House sitting judicially; chapter 3 to parties and counsel; chapter 4 to procedure and practice in appeals; and the last chapter deals with costs. The part of chapter 3 relating to solicitors and agents is comprised in three lines, and, considering the materials afforded by the report of the Select Committee of 1876, might, we think, with advantage have been greatly enlarged. Chapter 4, however, gives in a clear and practical way the orders and decisions on points of practice; and the book will be found a fairly complete and useful guide to the practice of the House of Lords.

ROSCOE.

ROSCOE'S DIGEST OF THE LAW OF EVIDENCE ON THE TRIAL OF ACTIONS AT NISI PRIUS. Fourteenth Edition. By JOHN C. DAY, Q.C., and MAURICE POWELL, Barrister-at-Law. Stevens & Sons; H. Sweet; W. Maxwell & Son.

Since the last edition of this book appeared the Judicature Acts have come into operation, and the long list of rules of court cited shows the extent to which alteration and addition have been rendered necessary. The sections and rules applicable appear to have been judiciously introduced, and the cases upon them are carefully noticed. As regards the decisions upon the general subjects of the work since the last edition we have not found any omissions; the result of our examination, indeed, has been to show that no little diligence has been used in collecting the decisions. We are not always quite so well satisfied with the statement of their effect. The necessity for extreme brevity renders it difficult often to do more than indicate roughly the general effect or subject of the cases, and if the work is used only as a guide to the decisions this is sufficient; but we imagine the book is used on circuit and elsewhere for other purposes, and, with a view to this, it would be desirable to exercise a little more care occasionally in welding together a series of decisions, such, for instance, as the cases from *Hudson v. Buck* to *Bonneville v. Jenkins* on p. 299. We notice, by the way, that the provision of 39 & 40 Vict. c. 16, s. 11, as to instruments increasing rent, has escaped the vigilance of the editors in the part of the work relating to stamps on leases and agreements for leases. Our impression on the whole, however, is that this edition has been prepared with very satisfactory care and ability.

REAL PROPERTY STATUTES.

RECENT REAL PROPERTY STATUTES, COMPRISING THOSE PASSED DURING THE YEARS 1874-77 INCLUSIVE; WITH COPIOUS NOTES. By HARRY GREENWOOD, Barrister-at-Law. Stevens & Sons.

Mr. Greenwood consolidates the recent statutes with the provisions of the amended Acts, so giving the reader a complete view of the amended statutes. This will be found of considerable advantage, particularly to students, who often find some difficulty in understanding the relation of the new legislation to the old. The author has added notes which, especially on the Vendor and Purchaser Act and the Settled Estates Act, are likely to be useful to the practitioner. On the former Act, for instance, he gives, at page 120, a summary of the questions which have been decided under section 9. On the Limitation Acts and Partition Acts the notes are rather too much composed of extracts from the judgments or *dicta* of judges, but, so far as we have tested them, the statements appear to be generally accurate and careful, and the work will be found exceedingly handy for reference.

THE REAL PROPERTY ACTS, 1877, &c., BEING A SUPPLEMENT TO THE THIRD EDITION OF THE REAL PROPERTY ACTS, 1874-6. By W. T. CHARLEY, Common Serjeant of the City of London. H. Sweet.

This work shows no diminution in the care with which Mr. Charley annotated the statutes in the valuable little book to which this is intended to form a supplement. His explanatory notes on the Settled Estates Act are often very useful, and he prints the recent orders under that Act. On the Contingent Remainders Act he discusses, at considerable length, the controversy to which section 1 gave rise; and in the notes on all the Acts, if there is some diffuseness, there is always a praiseworthy desire to point out the precise object of the new provision and its practical result.

EDUCATION ACTS.

THE ELEMENTARY EDUCATION ACTS, 1870-1876, WITH INTRODUCTION, NOTES, AND INDEX. By HUGH OWEN, jun., Barrister-at-Law. FOURTEENTH EDITION. Knight & Co.

It is only necessary to say of this edition of one of the most widely-circulated law books of our day that it contains the Code of 1878, all the new Orders in Council and Orders of the Local Government Board and of the Education Department. The cases decided since the last edition are fully noticed, and considerable additions have been made to the notes.

General Correspondence.

EXTENDING TIME FOR REGISTRATION OF BILLS OF SALE.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—Under section 14 of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878, power is given to "any judge" of the High Court of Justice to rectify certain omissions, and extend the time for registration of bills of sale, on being satisfied that the omission or non-registration was accidental, or due to inadvertence. Under this section I recently had occasion to apply for an order to extend the time for registration of a bill of sale, and on the matter being mentioned to the master at judges' chambers, and his attention being directed to the words of the section, he stated that he was the "judge" for the purpose of the Act, and refused to allow my clerk to go before the judge, although he requested to be allowed to do so.

Mr. Justice Field, at the instance of an execution creditor, has now decided that the masters are not "judges" for the purposes of this section, and has vacated the master's order and the registration, to the prejudice of my client, the bill of sale holder.

It is well that this should be known to the profession and the public, that they may insist on all applications under this section being heard by the judge himself in future, and not by the master.

The rules under the Judicature Act as to the jurisdiction of the masters do not cover the Bills of Sale Act, and no special rules have been made under it. It seems doubtful, indeed, if it is competent to the judges to make rules under this Act which shall confer any power or jurisdiction on the masters to act under section 14.

H. B.

Cases of the Week.

PRACTICE—STAYING PROCEEDINGS PENDING APPEAL—PATENT ACTION—ACCOUNT OF PROFITS—COSTS—ORD. 53, RR. 16, 17.—In a case of *Adair v. Young*, before the Court of Appeal on the 19th inst., an application was made for a stay of proceedings under a judgment, pending an appeal to the Court of Appeal. The action was brought in respect of an alleged infringement by the defendant of the plaintiff's patent. At the trial Bacon, V.C., granted an injunction, and ordered the defendant to account for the profits he had made by the sale of articles which he had manufactured in infringement of the plaintiff's right. The defendant gave notice of appeal, and, the appeal having been entered, applied for a stay of the proceedings for taking the account of profits. The Vice-Chancellor having refused the application, it was renewed in the Court of Appeal. It was urged that, if the account of profits was taken, the defendant would be compelled to disclose the names of his customers, and this would enable the plaintiff to sue the customers, or at any rate to threaten them with litigation, and thus the defendant might lose all his customers and his business might be ruined before his appeal could be heard; so that, if he succeeded on his appeal, an irreparable injury might have been done to him. On behalf of the plaintiff it was urged that the appeal would probably not be heard for a long time, and that a long delay in the taking of the account might possibly be very injurious to the plaintiff. The court (Jessel, M.R., and Baggallay and Bramwell, L.J.J.), thought that the account ought not to be taken pending the appeal, but they ordered the hearing of the appeal to be advanced. And as, by reason of this advancement, both parties had obtained a benefit from the application, the court held that the general rule laid down in *Merry v. Nickalls* (21 W. R. 305, L. R. 8 Ch. 205), and *Cooper v. Cooper* (24 W. R. 623, L. R. 2 Ch. D. 492), that the person who asks for an indulgence ought to pay the costs of obtaining it, did not apply, and they ordered that the costs of the application should be costs of the appeal.

PRACTICE—ACTION IN LANCASTER CHANCERY COURT—ORDER FOR SERVICE ON DEFENDANT OUT OF JURISDICTION, BUT WITHIN JURISDICTION OF HIGH COURT—17 & 18 VICT. c. 82, s. 8.—On the 19th inst., an application was made to the Court of Appeal for leave to serve a writ which it was proposed to issue in the Lancaster Chancery Court upon a person who resided outside the jurisdiction of that court, but within the jurisdiction of the High Court. The application was made under section 8 of the Act 17 & 18 Vict. c. 82, and it was suggested that a difficulty arose in this way—viz., that that section assumes that the action has been commenced in the Lancaster Court before the application is made to the Court of Appeal for leave to serve the defendant, who is within the jurisdiction of the High Court, while, by the orders of the Lancaster Court which are now in force, a writ for service out of its jurisdiction cannot be issued without the leave of the Vice-Chancellor of that court. Jessel, M.R., said that the application to the Vice-Chancellor must be made first, and, his leave having been obtained for the issue of the writ, the application could be made to the Court of Appeal for leave to serve the writ on

the person who was within the jurisdiction of the High Court. At a later period of the day the leave of the Vice-Chancellor having been obtained, the Court of Appeal made the order for service, though the writ had not been actually issued.

EXTINGUISHMENT OF DEBT—HUSBAND'S DEBT VESTED IN WIFE—BUSINESS IN CHAMBERS—COSTS.—In a case of *In re Price*, before the Court of Appeal on the 19th inst., the question arose whether a debt had become extinguished under the following circumstances:—A husband had, in 1865, given to his wife's mother a common money bond to secure a sum of £2,413 due from him to her, which was to be paid in instalments. In September, 1869, the mother died, having by her will bequeathed the residue of her estate to her daughter, whom she appointed her executrix. During the mother's life the husband had not paid the instalments under the bond regularly, though he had paid something on account of them. The wife, with the assent of her husband, proved the mother's will. In September, 1870, the residuary account of her estate was passed at Somerset House. This account treated the estate as then clear of debts, and the residue as then belonging absolutely to the wife, and on this footing legacy duty was paid upon the sum which was stated to be the clear residue. But the account made no mention of the bond, or of the debt due upon it. After the death of the mother (and, so far as appeared, immediately after) the bond came into the possession of the husband, who thenceforth kept it locked up in a desk of his, but he did not cancel it by cutting off the seal or in any other way. He, however, made no payment in respect of it after the mother's death. He converted to his own use all the rest of the residue of her estate. In April, 1871, he died intestate. In the action, which was brought by some of his next of kin against his widow and administratrix for the administration of his estate, she carried in a claim for the sum which remained unpaid on the bond, as being a debt still due from his estate to the estate of the mother. Hall, V.C., held that the debt had not been extinguished, and admitted the claim. The Court of Appeal held that the debt had been extinguished, and disallowed the claim. Jessel, M.R., said that, as a general rule, when a wife became the owner of a debt which was due from her husband, the debt became extinguished, though the rule would not apply in cases where the rights of third parties, such as legatees, would be affected. But, if it could be shown that no reason existed for the suspension of the general rule, the rule would apply. In the present case there was cogent evidence to show that no reason existed for the suspension of the rule; first, because the husband and wife in passing the residuary account stated that the estate was then clear from debt; and, secondly, because the husband converted all the rest of the mother's property to his own use. The only thing in favour of the wife's claim was the fact that the husband did not go through the form of cutting off the seals from the bond. But that was not enough to counterail the evidence to the contrary. It appeared that the Vice-Chancellor had decided the question in chambers. Jessel, M.R., expressed great surprise at a question involving the right to so large a sum and raising so nice a point of law having been decided in that way, and said that it was quite contrary to his own practice. He said that, if such matters were to be dealt with in chambers, he thought the judge might as well conduct the whole of the business of his court in chambers. He was of opinion that the judge of first instance already took quite enough business in chambers, without hearing cases of such magnitude and importance there. He should have thought that this was clearly not a case to be heard in chambers. A question also arose as to costs. Besides the next of kin who were plaintiffs, some other next of kin had obtained leave to attend the proceedings in chambers. They appeared by counsel upon the appeal, and asked for their costs. The court refused to allow them. Jessel, M.R., said that he desired to state most emphatically that the court would not encourage the attendance of a number of persons on the taking of accounts simply for the purpose of getting costs. Each interest ought to be represented by one solicitor only.

LIQUIDATION—POWER OF TRUSTEE TO COMPROMISE ACTION WITHOUT CONSENT OF COMMITTEE OF INSPECTION—

RIGHT OF TRUSTEE TO COMMENCE ACTION IN HIS OWN NAME—BANKRUPTCY ACT, 1869, s. 25, SUB-SECTION 3; s. 27, SUB-SECTIONS 3, 4; s. 83, SUB-SECTIONS 6, 7.—In a case of *Leeming v. Murray*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 13th inst., a question arose as to the power of a trustee in liquidation to compromise an action without the consent of the committee of inspection. A liquidating debtor was entitled to a policy of assurance, and on his death the office was sued by his then trustee for payment of the amount of the policy. The present defendant alleged that she had a charge on the policy and was a defendant to the former action. The then trustee, without the consent of his committee of inspection, consented to an order being made in the action whereby a sum of £800 was paid out of court (the money had been paid in by the insurance company) to the present defendant, a small sum in respect of costs to the insurance company, and the residue to the then trustee. The present plaintiff, who had succeeded as trustee in the liquidation, now brought this action, seeking a return of the £800, on the ground that the consent order was improperly made, and that the defendant had taken her charge with notice of the liquidation proceedings. The defendant demurred to the statement of claim. The plaintiff sued in his own name and not in his official capacity as trustee of the estate of the debtor, and a question was also raised whether the trustee was not bound to sue in his official capacity. By section 25, sub-section 3, of the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, the trustee may "bring or defend any action, suit, or other legal proceeding, relating to the property of the bankrupt." By section 27, sub-sections 3 and 4, the trustee, with the sanction of the committee of inspection, may make compromises with creditors in respect of any debts proveable, and also with respect to any claims arising out of or incidental to the property of the bankrupt. By section 83, sub-section 6, the property of the bankrupt passes from trustee to trustee without any conveyance, assignment, or transfer. By sub-section 7 the trustee of a bankrupt may "sue and be sued by the official name of 'the trustee of the property of', a bankrupt," inserting the name of the bankrupt, and by that name may sue and be sued and do all other acts necessary or expedient to be done in the execution of his office." The main contention on the part of the plaintiff was that, as there was no power to compromise any claim made by a creditor, however small, without the consent of the committee, it was never intended that the trustee by merely commencing an action could compromise the same claim. The Master of the Rolls was of opinion that there was no prohibition against the trustee suing in his own name, and, therefore, that could be done. As to the other point, inasmuch as the Bankruptcy Act gave the trustee a power to commence an action without the consent of his committee of inspection, he was clearly of opinion that he had the power to do all things necessary, just the same as any ordinary litigant, for the conduct of the action, which would include the ordinary power of compromising the same. The plaintiff's proper course was to have impeached the consent order, but while that remained it was binding on him, and the plaintiff's case failed. He had not sought to impeach the consent order in the present action, and, in fact, he could not, seeing that the insurance company were not parties. His lordship, therefore, allowed the demurrer and refused leave to amend.

COMPANY—PAYMENT OF DIVIDEND OUT OF PROFITS—INJUNCTION—UNAUTHORISED USE OF NAME OF COMPANY AS PLAINTIFFS.—In a case of *Devson v. Gillies*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 14th inst., an application was made to restrain the defendants, the directors of a company, from paying a dividend under the following circumstances. According to the articles of association, dividends were only to be paid out of "profits." There were also clauses requiring the creation of a reserve fund for depreciation and renewals, and of a "contingency" fund. The plaintiffs alleged that a sum of £80,000 was necessary for the renewal of the company's plant, that there was only a reserve fund consisting of £2,000, and the present dividend fund of £7,690 available for such renewal. The "contingency" fund, which stood at £19,000, it was contended was not for the ordinary purpose of renewals, but rather to meet some unexpected event, as the name of the fund implied. The dividend declared amounted to £7,500. The defendants contended that although a large sum was required for renewals, still not the large sum alleged by the plaintiffs, and that, moreover, the sum did

not require to be immediately expended, but could be spread over several years. The plaintiff sued on behalf of himself and all other shareholders who might adopt the action, and he had joined the company as plaintiffs without their consent, and there was, therefore, a cross-motion by the defendants to strike out the name of the company as plaintiffs. On this motion the Master of the Rolls was of opinion that the plaintiff had made use of the company's name in an unauthorized way, and that the same must be struck out with costs, the company being made defendants. As to the other motion, the question really was one of *ultra vires*, and he therefore thought the plaintiff was entitled to sue, and that it was not a case relating solely to the internal management of the company, and as to which the court on the authorities would not interfere. The sole question, therefore, was whether this payment of dividend was really out of capital, and therefore *ultra vires* the company. On this point he was clear that the company, like any other trading partnership, were bound to set aside a proper sum every year for renewals to meet the ordinary wear and tear of the plant, and that there could be no "profits," which meant net profits, divisible amongst the shareholders until they had done so. The "contingency" fund he could not look upon as intended to meet such an expenditure, and therefore it was perfectly evident that a very large sum was now necessary for renewals, and that there was no fund sufficient to provide for the same. Under these circumstances he did not consider the company had any "profits" available for division, and he granted the injunction asked for. He, however, gave the defendants leave to move to dissolve the injunction in case they could show (as their evidence was not clear on the point) that such a large sum was not now necessary for renewals, and that they had a sum available for dividends.

MORTGAGE—FORECLOSURE—INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION FOR SALE—CHANCERY PROCEDURE ACT, 1852, s. 55.—In a case of *London and County Banking Company v. Doer*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 14th inst., the question arose whether on an interlocutory application an order for sale in a foreclosure action by equitable mortgages could be made. For the plaintiffs reliance was placed on *Davis v. Ashwin* (26 W. R. 139), where a creditor holding security against the real estate of a company in liquidation had obtained an order for sale from Vice-Chancellor Hall on an interlocutory application. The Master of the Rolls considered that the section did not apply to an interlocutory application for sale in an ordinary foreclosure action, as it referred to a sale "for the purposes of the suit," showing that it was intended to apply only to administration suits. The case of *Davis v. Ashwin* only decided that in the special circumstances of that case the Vice-Chancellor considered that an immediate sale would be expedient.

PRACTICE—COSTS—REFRESHERS TO COUNSEL—ACTIONS IN THE CHANCERY DIVISION WITH WITNESSES.—In a case of *Harrison v. Wearing*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 15th inst., a question arose as to whether in actions with witnesses, where the hearing occupied more than one day, refreshers to counsel should be allowed after the first day. The above case had occupied nearly two days, and witnesses were examined *vide voce*. The taxing master had allowed refreshers to counsel for the second day, and a summons had been taken out to review his decision. The applicant relied on *Smith v. Butler* (23 W. R. 332, L. R. 19 Eq. 435), where Vice-Chancellor Malins had dissented from the custom of the taxing master's office to allow refreshers after the first day, and had decided only to allow them after two days. In that case the Vice-Chancellor said he could not distinguish between cases heard orally and by affidavit evidence, and he considered the true criterion to be the time occupied and not the mode in which the evidence was taken. The Master of the Rolls said he could not agree with the view of the Vice-Chancellor, because such a rule might have the effect of lengthening considerably the arguments of counsel. There was a great distinction between cases heard upon affidavit evidence and those heard orally. In the former it was easy to guess from the number of affidavits and the nature of the questions to be argued how long the hearing would occupy, and the fees were apportioned accordingly;

but in trials with witnesses it was impossible to ascertain how many witnesses might be called by the opposite side, and a case might run to greater length than could possibly be predicted. That was the reason why the custom had arisen of giving refreshers in trials with witnesses. The practice in the common law divisions was to allow refreshers after the first entire day, and he should adopt that rule and confirm the decision of the taxing master.

DEBTORS ACT, 1869 (32 & 33 VICT. c. 62), ss. 4, 5—COSTS OF LITIGATION—PAYMENT BY INSTALMENTS—NO EVIDENCE OF MEANS.—In an action of *Estdale v. Fisher*, before Vice-Chancellor Hall, a motion was made on behalf of the plaintiff on the 13th inst. to commit one of the defendants to prison for disobedience to a *subpoena* to pay a sum of £148 12s. for the costs of the action. The subject of the litigation had been the right to certain tithes for the parish of St. Botolph, amounting, as was stated in court, to about £4, and the plaintiff having obtained judgment, an order had been made on May 8, 1878, against one of the defendants, who was a solicitor, for payment of the costs. The present application was made under section 5 of the Debtors Act, 1869, which provides that, "subject to the provisions of the Act, and to the prescribed rules, any court may commit to prison for a term not exceeding six weeks, or until payment of the sum due, any person who makes default in payment of any debt or instalment of any debt due from him in pursuance of any order or judgment of that or any other competent court." It was argued in support of the application that costs were a debt within the meaning of the section (*Hewitson v. Sherwin*, 18 W. R. 832, L. R. 10 Eq. 53), and, as the evidence as to the means of the defendant was very contradictory and insufficient, it was asked that an order for payment by instalments might be made, without any evidence of means, on the ground that such evidence was necessary, under sub-section 2 of section 5, only in the case of an order for committal (*Dillon v. Cunningham*, L. R. 8 Ex. 23). On behalf of the defendant it was contended that the case was not within the exceptions to section 4 of the Act, the defendant not having been ordered to pay costs for misconduct as a solicitor, but as a defendant, and that no attachment could issue against him (*In re Hope*, 20 W. R. 694, L. R. 7 Ch. Ap. 523). Here the defendant was simply in the character of an unsuccessful litigant, who submitted to be examined by the court as to his property. The Vice-Chancellor held that it was clear that, under the authority of *Dillon v. Cunningham*, an order might be made for payment by instalments without any evidence of means, and, acting upon that, he should make an order upon the present motion for payment of the amount in question by monthly instalments of £8, and, with regard to the costs of the present motion, should allow three guineas to be added to the debt.

TRADE-MARK—INJUNCTION—ONUS OF PROOF—DEFECTION OF ULTIMATE PURCHASER.—In a case of *Orr Ewing & Co. v. Johnston & Co.*, before Fry, J., on the 15th inst., the action was brought to restrain an alleged infringement of the plaintiffs' trade-mark. This trade-mark had been refused registration under the Trade-Marks Registration Act, 1875 (*vide In re Orr Ewing's Trade-Marks*, 26 W. R. 777, L. R. 8 Ch. D. 794), but an appeal to the House of Lords from that decision is now pending. The plaintiffs and the defendants were both manufacturers of Turkey red yarn, which was exported to Bombay and other places in the East. The plaintiffs had for many years affixed to the packets in which their yarn was made up a ticket upon which were embossed a crown, between two elephants, and under the crown a banner. On the banner was printed in Guzerathi characters the name of the plaintiffs' firm. The defendants had recently adopted a ticket of similar shape and colour. On it also were embossed two elephants, but between them was, instead of a crown, the figure of a Hindoo idol. The elephants were in a different position from those on the plaintiffs' ticket, and each had on its back a howdah with a native in it, whereas the plaintiffs' elephants had nothing on their backs. Under the idol was a banner, on which was printed the name of the defendants' firm in English letters. The evidence showed that the plaintiffs' yarn had become known in the Bombay market as "Bhe Hashi" (i.e., two elephant) yarn, and that no other yarn was known

there by that name. It was asked for and sold under that name. There was no evidence of any actual deception by the defendants' ticket, but there was evidence that, though the dealers in Bombay would not be deceived, it was probable that the ultimate purchasers of the yarn—i.e., the native weavers who lived up the country—would be deceived. Fry, J., was of opinion that an ordinary English purchaser, using reasonable caution, would not be deceived by the defendants' ticket, even if he did not see it by the side of the plaintiffs'. But he thought that the defendants had taken a material and substantial part of the plaintiffs' ticket, viz., the two elephants, which had given the name to the plaintiffs' yarn in the Bombay market. That being so, his lordship was of opinion that (in accordance with what was said by James, L.J., in *Ford v. Foster*, 20 W. R. 818, L. R. 7 Ch. 611, 623, and by Lord O'Hagan in *The Singer Company v. Wilson*, 26 W. R. 664, L. R. 3 App. Cas. 395), it was the duty of the defendants to use such precautions that all reasonable probability of error and deception would be avoided. And he held that the *onus* was on the defendants to show that they had used those precautions. His lordship was of opinion that the defendants had not discharged this *onus*, and in coming to that conclusion he laid particular stress on the circumstance that the name of the plaintiffs' firm appeared on their ticket in letters which the natives of Bombay could read, whereas the name of the defendants' firm was printed on their ticket in English letters which the natives could not understand. An injunction was accordingly granted to restrain the defendants from using the ticket which they had adopted, or otherwise imitating the plaintiffs' ticket.

PARTY WALL—METROPOLITAN BUILDING ACT (18 & 19 VICT. C. 122), s. 3.—In a case of *Knight v. Purcell*, before Fry, J., on the 19th inst., the question arose whether a wall was a "party wall" within the definition contained in section 3 of the Metropolitan Building Act, 1855, which says that "party wall" shall apply to every wall used or built in order to be used as a separation of any building from any other building, with a view to the same being occupied by different persons." The wall in question separated buildings occupied respectively by the plaintiff and the defendant, but it stood entirely on the plaintiff's land. Fry, J., held that this circumstance made no difference, but that it was still a party wall within the definition.

PRACTICE—COSTS ON PAYMENT INTO COURT—FAILURE OF PLAINTIFF TO COMPLY WITH ORD. 30, R. 4—APPLICATION FOR PLAINTIFF'S COSTS UNDER ORDER 55.—In a case of *Greaves v. Fleming*, which came before the Queen's Bench Division on March 17, a question arose as to the plaintiff's right to costs where the defendant had paid money into court before defence under ord. 30, r. 1, and the plaintiff did not within the four days provided by rule 4 of the same order accept the same in satisfaction, but some six months afterwards, no steps having been taken in the meantime, accepted the amount paid in in entire satisfaction of the cause of action, and took out a summons to obtain his costs, which Field, J., allowed, and the case came before the court on appeal from that decision. It was contended for the defendant that unless the plaintiff complied with ord. 30, r. 4, he forfeited his right to costs, and that order 55 did not apply. The Court (Cockburn, C.J., and Mellor, J.), held that if the plaintiff follows the course provided by ord. 30, r. 4, he acquires an absolute right to his costs, but if he does not take that course he may obtain them by applying under order 55; when, however, the judge will consider all the circumstances of the case and allow the defendant any costs he has properly incurred since payment into court. In this case the defendant had not been prejudiced in any way by the delay; he must, therefore, pay the plaintiff's costs, and the appeal would be dismissed.

The Philadelphia correspondent of the *Times* says that Congress having passed a law authorising women to practise as attorneys in the United States Supreme Court, Mrs. Bella Lockwood, on the 5th inst., was, on motion, admitted to practise in that court, and here is the first female name placed on the roll of attorneys of that high tribunal.

Appointments, Etc.

Mr. EDWARD ARNOLD, solicitor, of Chichester and Bognor, has been appointed Secretary to the Chichester Waterworks Company, in succession to the late Mr. Frederick John Malim. Mr. Arnold was admitted a solicitor in 1862, and is also town clerk and coroner for Chichester, clerk to the City Magistrates, the Burial Board, and the Board of Guardians, and superintendent registrar.

Mr. WILLIAM EDWARD CARTER, solicitor (of the firm of Carter & Atkinson), of Pontefract and Knottingley, has been appointed a Magistrate for the Borough of Pontefract. Mr. Carter was admitted a solicitor in 1835, and is clerk to the Commissioners of Land Tax, Property, and Income Tax, for the division of Upper Osgoldcross, clerk to Pontefract Park Trustees, and one of the deputy-coroners for the Honor of Pontefract. The Honor of Pontefract extends within fourteen miles of Lancaster Castle.

Mr. HENRY CHARLES CLARKE, solicitor, of Shrewsbury, has been appointed Clerk of the Peace for that borough, in succession to his father, the late Mr. Richard Clarke. Mr. Clarke was admitted a solicitor in 1871, and is also coroner for the borough.

Mr. E. J. COBBY, who has been appointed to the post of Registrar of the Chancery Division, is the son of the late Mr. Charles Cobby, who practised as a solicitor at Brighton, between fifty and sixty years, and for twenty-eight years was solicitor to the Brighton Town Commissioners. Mr. Cobby was articled to his father, and was admitted a solicitor in Trinity Term, 1856, and was soon afterwards appointed, by Lord Cranworth, a clerk to the registrars.

Mr. THOMAS MUSGRAVE FRANCIS, solicitor (of the firm of Francis & Francis), of Cambridge, has been appointed by the High Sheriff of Cambridgeshire and Huntingdonshire (Mr. William Parker Hamond) to be Under-Sheriff of those counties for the ensuing year. Mr. Francis was admitted a solicitor in 1874. Mr. Charles Turner, of No. 59, St Andrew's-street, Cambridge, is the acting under-sheriff, at whose address the business of the office will be conducted.

Mr. WILLIAM PRICE HUGHES, solicitor, of Worcester, has been appointed by the High Sheriff of Worcestershire (Mr. Edward Bickerton Evans) to be Under-Sheriff of that county for the ensuing year. Mr. Hughes was admitted a solicitor in 1859, and is deputy-coroner for the Middle district of Worcestershire.

Mr. HENRY MOUNTRICH JAMES, solicitor and proctor, of Exeter, has been appointed by the High Sheriff of Devonshire (Thomas Carew, Esq.) to be Under-Sheriff of that county for the ensuing year. Mr. James was admitted a solicitor in 1848, and is also treasurer of the county.

Mr. FRANCIS TREGONWELL JOHNS, solicitor and notary, of Blandford, has been appointed by the High Sheriff of Dorsetshire (James John Farquharson, Esq.) to be Under-Sheriff of that county for the ensuing year. Mr. Johns was admitted a solicitor in 1843, and is registrar of the Archdeaconry of Dorset, registrar of the Blandford County Court, joint district probate registrar, joint clerk to justices, clerk to the Blandford Board of Guardians, Highway Board, and Burial Board. His partner, Mr. Sinclair Traill, is clerk to the Blandford Local Board, joint clerk to justices, and superintendent registrar.

Mr. CHARLES KIDSON, solicitor (of the firm of Kidson, Son, & Mackenzie), of Sunderland, has been appointed by the High Sheriff of the county of Durham (Mr. James Laing) to be Under-Sheriff for the ensuing year. Mr. Kidson was admitted a solicitor in 1868.

Mr. CHARLES TANNER KINGDON ROBERTS, solicitor, of Exeter, has been elected Clerk to the Badleigh Salterton Local Board. Mr. Roberts was admitted a solicitor in 1872, and is in partnership with his father, Mr. Edward Hunt Roberts.

Mr. CHARLES WALTER ELLIOTT LOCKHART, who served his articles with Messrs. Upton & Johnson, of Austin Friars, has been appointed a Clerk to the Registrars of the Chancery Division.

Mr. DOUGLAS STRAIGHT, barrister, who has been appointed a Judge of the High Court of the North-West Provinces of

India, in succession to Mr. Justice Turner, who has been appointed Chief Justice of Madras, is the son of Mr. Robert Marshall Straight, barrister, clerk of arraigns at the Central Criminal Court, and was born in 1844. He was educated at Harrow, and was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in Michaelmas Term, 1865, and he is a member of the South-Eastern Circuit. He has also practised at the Surrey Sessions and the Central Criminal Court; being one of the junior prosecuting counsel to the Treasury. Mr. Straight was M.P. for Shrewsbury in the Conservative interest from 1870 till 1874, and in 1875 he acted as a commissioner for inquiring into the existence of corrupt practices in the borough of Boston.

Mr. WILLIAM FREDERICK HAYNES SMITH, Attorney-General of the Colony of British Guiana, has received the degree of LL.D. from the Archbishop of Canterbury. Mr. Smith was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in Trinity Term, 1863. He was appointed Solicitor-General for British Guiana in 1865, and Attorney-General in 1874. He is also Chancellor of the Diocese of Guiana, and the degree has been conferred by the Archbishop as a testimony to Mr. Smith's services to the Church of England in the colony.

Mr. ARTHUR WILLIAMS, barrister, has been appointed Secretary to the Royal Commission on Accidents in Mines. Mr. Williams was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Hilary Term, 1867, and practises on the South Wales and Chester Circuit, and at the Glamorgan and Shropshire Sessions.

Societies.

LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.

At the meeting of this society at the Law Institution, London, on the 18th inst., Mr. A. M. Ellis, LL.B., in the chair, the question appointed for the debate was:—"A. agrees to build a vessel for B. for a price payable by instalments. A., by an instrument in writing, which he hands to C., directs B. to pay to C., to whom he is indebted, £100 out of moneys to become due from B. to A., and notice of such instrument is given to B. B. afterwards, for the purpose of enabling A. to complete the vessel, makes advances amounting to more than £100 to A. in respect of the balance of the price. Can C. recover £100 from B.?"—the case cited being *Brice v. Bannister* (25 W. R. 670, L. R. 3 Q. B. D. 569) and also the Judicature Act, 1873, s. 25, sub-section 6. Mr. Lewis Rendell opened the question for the affirmative, tracing the history of the right to assign a debt or *chose in action*, and the effect of the Judicature Act, 1873, maintaining that the sub-section 6 applied to future payments to become due, and that B. was, by the statute, bound by the notice of the assignment. The case for the negative chiefly rested on the diversity of opinion of the judges on the point, and that a direction to pay was not a sufficient assignment by law, and that the payments made by B. were not a *chose in action* which could be assigned previously. After the reply of the opener, the question was put to the meeting and decided in the affirmative.

UNITED LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

The ordinary weekly meeting of this society was held at Clement's-inn Hall, Strand, on Wednesday, the 19th inst., Mr. C. Kains-Jackson in the chair. Mr. Dowson, the secretary of the Davis Prize Committee, announced that the prize for 1878 had been awarded to Mr. C. Owen, the writer of the best essay on "The Law of Joint Stock Companies." Mr. T. Eastace Smith opened in the negative the subject for the evening's debate:—"That the present system of Land Transfer requires to be simplified, and that the best means of effecting this will be by compulsory registration." Mr. A. H. Spokes supported the motion, and sketched a scheme of compulsory registration which he claimed would be feasible and effectual. Messrs. S. A. Jones and Carpenter also supported, and Messrs. Dowson and Owen opposed. Mr. Eastace Smith having replied, the question was put to the meeting and negatived by a majority of four.

BIRMINGHAM LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

At an ordinary meeting of this society held in the library of the Birmingham Law Society on Tuesday evening last, T. A. Garland, Esq., in the chair, a discussion took place on the following moot point:—"A., an infant, commences business and opens a shop as a grocer, and incurs a debt of £60 to B. Is A. liable to be adjudicated a bankrupt on making default in paying the debt on a debtor's summons?" (*Ex parte Lynch, In re Lynch*, 24 W. R. 375, L. R. 2 Ch. D. 227-45; *Ex parte Kibble*, 23 W. R. 423, L. R. 10 Ch. App. 373; *Ex parte Unity Bank*, 3 De G. & J. 63). The speakers on the affirmative were Messrs. Hooper, Taylor, Steers, and Kemp; on the negative, Messrs. O'Connor, Lane, Samuel, and Rogers. The voting was in favour of the negative. A vote of thanks to the chairman concluded the meeting.

Legal News.

In the Liverpool Court of Passage on the 13th inst., at the conclusion of the business, the judge, Mr. T. H. Baylis, Q.C., thanked the jury for their attendance and the care they had given to the performance of their duties. Then an official of the court handed to the foreman 5s. for division among the jury, whose payments depended upon the amounts recovered. The foreman, looking at the money, exclaimed, "What is this for? If you would excuse me saying so, I think it would be much better not to pay us at all instead of offering us this paltry sum. I think we are all tradesmen, and above receiving a few coppers for our services. I think we had better hand it back and let it be sent to the poor box. It is really disgraceful to offer it." His Honour said it was no part of his duty to know what the jurors received, but he was sure they were well worthy of being well paid for their services. The Registrar.—The payment is fixed by Act of Parliament. The Foreman (to the judge).—We are thankful to you for the kind way in which you have treated us, but whether the Act of Parliament fixes the price or not, it does not render a dishonourable act an honourable one because it is done on the highest authority in the land. His Honour said the Act of Parliament could not be altered, except by going to the Legislature. The Foreman.—Perhaps you will admit there is some justice in my remarks. His Honour.—You are quite entitled to make the observation. The amount does seem very small indeed. I was not aware until you mentioned it what it was. The Foreman.—We were here eight hours yesterday and got 1s. 3d. each. The jury then retired with the 5s.

On Thursday last Lord Justice Thesiger distributed the regimental and company prizes won during the last shooting season by members of the Inns of Court Volunteer Rifle Corps. The regiment, under the command of Lieutenant-Colonel Bulwer, Q.C., M.P., mustered in the garden of Lincoln's-inn-hall, and after going through a short drill marched into the hall of the Inn, and there, forming three sides of a hollow square, stood during the ceremony. Before proceeding to present the prizes, Lord Justice Thesiger expressed the pleasure he felt in once more finding himself face to face with a regiment of which he had been a member, and in which he had never ceased to take a warm interest. He begged to be allowed to say a few words with reference to a question of great importance to the corps—namely, whether any means could be taken to increase its numbers. He was sorry to find that in years in which it was known the number of legal students and barristers had increased there had been no increase in the strength of the regiment which properly belonged to them. Scouting the idea that legal students and young barristers could not find time for volunteering, he touched upon the difficulty those in practice found in joining the corps on field-days, owing to the impossibility of telling when any particular case would come on for hearing. Before the fusion of law and equity, when they had not those continuous sittings which now existed, with so much advantage to the public and so much inconvenience to the bar, this difficulty was not felt, and he thought that when a field-day was appointed the judges should do their best to see that no man in business should be inconvenienced by the fact of his attending at that field-day assembly. During the last five years about 1,900 men had joined the Inns of Court, but only about one-sixth of the

number, or 300, had joined this corps. Now, if he was right in his thesis, 1,500 men had not been doing their duty. Were the matter properly represented to these, however, and the influence of the older members of the bar brought to bear upon them, many more would, he had no doubt, join the regiment. Colonel Bulwer, on behalf of the regiment, thanked Lord Justice Thesiger for presiding on this occasion, and called on the regiment for three cheers for the Duke of Connaught and his bride; the national anthem was played, and the men marched out to be dismissed.

Obituary.

MR. WILLIAM HENRY DORMAN.

Mr. William Henry Dorman, solicitor, of Margate and Ramsgate, died at 12, Chapel-place, Ramsgate, on the 10th inst. Mr. Dorman was born at Canterbury in 1833, and was educated at the King's School in that city. He was admitted a solicitor in 1860, having served his articles with his elder brother, Mr. Charles Dorman (of the firm of Kingsford & Dorman), of Essex-street. For about seven years he practised in Basinghall-street, in partnership with Mr. William Richard Preston. After the dissolution of the partnership he settled at Ramsgate, having also an office at Margate, and had a good practice as an advocate in the various local courts. Mr. Dorman had been for many years a captain in the Margate Artillery Volunteers, and devoted much time to the interests of that corps. Mr. Dorman died from disease of the heart, having been for some time in very delicate health. He was buried at Norwood Cemetery on the 14th inst. At the Margate County Court on the 13th inst. Mr. Gibson, solicitor, alluded to Mr. Dorman's death, and the judge (Mr. George Russell) paid a high tribute to the character and abilities of the deceased, and to the courtesy which he had always displayed as a practitioner in that court.

MR. CHARLES HOWARD WHITEHURST, Q.C.

Mr. Charles Howard Whitehurst, Q.C., died at his residence, Burwood Lodge, West Brixton, on the 13th inst. Mr. Whitehurst was born in 1796, and was educated at Wadham College, Oxford, where he graduated B.A. in 1819. He was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in February, 1822, when he joined the Midland Circuit, and the Lincolnshire, Nottinghamshire, and Derbyshire Sessions. He obtained a silk gown in 1844, and was for some time the leader of the Midland Circuit, but many years ago he retired from practice. Mr. Whitehurst was a bencher of the Middle Temple, and was treasurer of that society in 1854.

MR. GEORGE COLEMAN HAMILTON LEWIS.

Mr. George Coleman Hamilton Lewis, solicitor, of 10, Ely-place, died on the 13th inst. Mr. Lewis was admitted a solicitor in 1834, and immediately afterwards went into partnership with his elder brother, Mr. James Graham Lewis. Since the death of the latter he had been the head of the firm, being associated with his nephew, Mr. George Henry Lewis, who is well known as a leading advocate in the criminal courts. The late Mr. Lewis had for over thirty years been deputy clerk of the peace, and clerk to the licensing justices for the liberty of the Tower.

High Court of Justice.

COMMON PLEAS DIVISION.

(Sittings in Banco, before BRAMWELL, L.J., and LOPES, J.)

March 17.—*In the Matter of Waller Seowcroft, a Solicitor.*

In this case a rule had been obtained to show cause why Mr. Seowcroft, a solicitor at Bolton, should not be struck off the rolls.

Fitzgerald showed cause.

Murray, on behalf of the Incorporated Law Society, supported the rule.

The rule had been obtained on the ground that Mr. Seowcroft had been convicted of larceny, and the answer now made on his behalf, as gathered from his own affidavit, was that if he was guilty of anything, it was of breach of trust, but that from first to last he had no intention to defraud.

The Court were of opinion that no reason had been given for thinking the conviction wrong, and the rule, therefore, was made absolute.

Legislation of the Week.

HOUSE OF LORDS.

MARCH 13.—BILL READ A SECOND TIME.

EXCHEQUER BONDS (also passed through remaining stages).

BILLS IN COMMITTEE.

BANKRUPTCY (passed through Committee). DEBTORS ACT AMENDMENT (passed through Committee). JUDICATURE ACTS AMENDMENT (passed through Committee).

BILL READ A THIRD TIME.

CONSOLIDATED FUND (No. 1).

MARCH 14.—ROYAL COMMISSION.

The Royal assent was given by Commission to the Exchequer Bonds (No. 1) Bill, the Consolidated Fund (No. 1) Bill, and the Spring Assizes Bill.

MARCH 17.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.

RIVERS CONSERVANCY.

MARCH 18.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.

MARINE MUTINY. MUTINY.

BILLS READ A THIRD TIME.

PRIVATE BILLS.—Burnt Fen District. Lancashire County Justices.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

MARCH 13.—BILL READ A SECOND TIME.

MARINE MUTINY ACT.

BILLS IN COMMITTEE.

OYSTER AND MUSSEL FISHERIES ORDER (BLACKWATER, ESSEX). MUTINY ACT (passed through Committee).

MARCH 14.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.

PRIVATE BILLS.—London and North-Western Railway (Additional Powers), Midland Railway.

BILLS IN COMMITTEE.

DISTRICT AUDITORS (passed through Committee). PROSECUTION OF OFFENCES (clause 1).

BILL READ A THIRD TIME.

MARINE MUTINY.

BILL READ A FIRST TIME.

COMPANIES ACT AMENDMENT.

MARCH 17.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.

PRIVATE BILLS.—South-Eastern Railway, Easton Neston Mineral, Towcester, Roade, and Olney Junction Railway.

BILL READ A FIRST TIME.

EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY.

MARCH 18.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.

PRIVATE BILLS.—London and North-Western Railway (New Railway), Thames and Severn Canal.

BILL READ A FIRST TIME.

COUNTY BOARDS.

MARCH 19.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.

PRIVATE BILLS.—Imperial Continental Gas Association. South London Tramways.

BILL READ A THIRD TIME.

DISTRICT AUDITORS.

BILLS READ A FIRST TIME.

TRUSTEE ACTS (CONSOLIDATION AND AMENDMENT) (Mr. O. Morgan). LICENSING ACT (1872) AMENDMENT (Mr. Rodwell).

Court Papers.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE ON.

Date.	COURT OF APPEAL.	MASTER OF THE ROLLS.	V.C. MALINS.
Monday, March 24	Mr. Merivale	Mr. King	Mr. Clowes
Tuesday	25 Cobby	Farrer	Koe
Wednesday	26 Merivale	King	Clowes
Thursday	27 Cobby	Farrer	Koe
Friday	28 Merivale	King	Clowes
Saturday	29 Cobby	Farrer	Koe
	V. C. BACON.	V. C. HALL.	Mr. Justice Fry.
Monday, March 24	Mr. Teesdale	Mr. Leach	Mr. Ward
Tuesday	25 Holdship	Latham	Pemberton
Wednesday	26 Teesdale	Leach	Ward
Thursday	27 Holdship	Latham	Pemberton
Friday	28 Teesdale	Leach	Ward
Saturday	29 Holdship	Latham	Pemberton

LONDON BANKRUPTCY COURT.

BUSINESS OF THE COURT.

In consequence of the resignation of Mr. Registrar Spring-Rice, the following new arrangement has been announced in regard to the distribution of the business:—

Applications under proceedings for liquidation by arrangement or composition, trust deeds, and matters of insolvency must be made to the several registrars as follows: Debtors' names letters A to De inclusive, Mr. Registrar Murray; Di to Ka inclusive, Mr. Registrar Brougham; Ke to Ri inclusive, Mr. Registrar Haslitt; Ro to Z, inclusive, Mr. Registrar Pepps.

Cases allotted to the late Mr. Registrar Roche and Mr. Registrar Spring-Rice will be taken according to the above division.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

LONDON.

HILARY SITTING, 1879.

This list contains all actions entered in Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions, in which notice of trial has been given; and also all actions in the Chancery Division, in which notice has been given of trial before a judge and jury, up to and including 17th March, 1879.

LIST OF ACTIONS FOR TRIAL.

- CP 1 The Chartered Mercantile Bank of India, London, and China (Waltons, B and W) v The Netherlands India Steam Navigation Co, *limd* (Lorrell and Co), commission SJ
- QB 2 Pickup (Hollams, Son and C) v Stevens and *anr* (Waltons, B and W) SJ
- CP 3 Frowein and *ors* (Stephen Scott) v Sonnenthal (Ashurst, M and Co), stayed SJ
- QB 4 Moffatt and *ors* (Ingledew, I and G) v Williams (Plews, I and H), commission
- CP 5 Allen (Roberts and B) v Wakefield (Waltons, B and W), commission SJ
- CP 6 Lopez (H Montagn) v Rae (G R Innes and Son) SJ
- CP 7 Bredin (A Jones, T and G) v Williams (Norris, A and C), stayed
- QB 8 Fraser and Co (Stibbard, G and C) v Burrows (Waltons, B and W), commission SJ
- Ex 9 Hanbury (Mercer and M) v Grant and Clark and Punchard (Ashurst, M and Co; Blunt, T and L), postponed SJ
- And 77 other actions against the same defendants
- Ex 97 James Watt and Co (G Webb) v Mersey Steel and Iron Co, *limd* (Norris, A and C) SJ
- Ex 98 Oström and Fischer (H J Coburn) v Heints (Nash and F), stayed
- CP 99 Rathbone and *ors* (Freshfields and W) v Caldwell (J B Towne), commission SJ
- QB 99 Leone (Joo Frost) v Household (Field, R and Co), stayed SJ
- Ex 91 Hoogendijk (J R Bailey) v Vander Zee (Simpson and C), commission SJ
- CP 92 Wagstaff and *ors* (Parker and Co) v Andersen and *ors* (Hollams, Son and Co) SJ
- CP 93 Fraser (Hans) v Morice (Waltons, B and W), commission SJ
- QB 94 Bank of Montreal (Bischoff, B and B) v Huntington (Field, R and Co) SJ
- Ex 95 Moore and Co (Murray, H and Co) v Hobley (Hollams, Son and Co), commission SJ
- CP 96 Keady and *anr* (Fritchard and Sons) v Young and *ors* (Clarkes, R and C; J West; Barton, Y and Co; Belfrage and M), commission SJ
- CP 97 Amazon, Tug and Lighterage Co, *limd* (Ashurst, M and Co) v Earle's Shipbuilding and Engineering Co, *limd* (Chester, U and Co), commission SJ
- QB 98 Pedersen (Johnsons, U, B and A) v Dobell and Co (Field, R and Co), commission SJ
- QB 99 Dreyfus, Freres and Cie (Stibbard, G and Co) v Watia Milburn and Co (Torr and Co), commission SJ
- CP 100 Gardiner (Waltons, B and W) v Warns (F Bradley) SJ
- CP 101 Lawson (Tucker, B and Co) v Yeomans (Collette and C)
- CP 102 Walker (S H Behrend) v Hough (Bloxam and E), without jury, stayed
- CP 103 Jassmins (Lowless and Co) v Hyde (Hollams, Son and C), commission SJ
- QB 104 Vanderzee and Co (Simpson and C) v Campbell, Robertson and Co (J R Chidley) SJ
- QB 105 Pickup (Hollams, Son and C) v The Merchant Marine Insurance Co, *limd* (Argles and R) SJ
- QB 106 Same (Same) v The British and Foreign Marine Insurance Co, *limd* (Same) SJ
- QB 107 Weigel (W Beck) v Anderson and *ors* (Hollams, Son and C), postponed
- Ex 108 Hammond and *anr* (H C Godfray) v Millar (Boydus and B)
- Ex 109 Young (Wilkins, B and F) v The Sonora Co and *ors* (Elmallo and Co; Mozley and S) SJ
- QB 110 Wakefield and Co (Hollams, Son and C) v Engelhardt and Co (Druce, Sons and C), commission SJ
- QB 111 Pickup (Same) v Thames and Mersey Marine Insurance Co, *limd* (Freshfields and W) SJ
- CP 112 Taylor, Bethell and Roberts (Waltons, B and W) v The Universal Marine Insurance Co (Hollams, Son and C), commission SJ
- CP 113 J H Johnson and Co (Same) v McLaren (Same), commission SJ
- CP 114 A Tesdorpf and Co (Same) v Gates (T Cooper and Co), stayed SJ
- CP 115 Taylor, Bethell and Roberts (Same) v Hope (Gregory, Rand Co), commission SJ
- QB 116 Clinch and *ors* (Flux and Co) v Bank of British North America (Bischoff, B and B) SJ
- Ex 117 Billbrough (Hacon and T) v Henderson (Dawes and Sons), commission SJ
- Ex 118 Baum (G M Cooke) v Roberts (in person) SJ
- QB 119 Hallett and wife (Brooks, J and Co) v Gates (T Cooper and Co) SJ
- CP 120 Reynolds (J P Garrud) v Cooper (Fisher and Co), postponed
- QB 121 Price (D Birt) v The London and County Banking Co (Stevens and H) SJ
- CP 122 Bevingtons, Foster and Co (Waltons, B and W) v Rawlins (Hollams, Son and C), commission SJ
- CP 123 Strauss and Co (F W Mount) v Continental Daily Parcel Express (Dalton and J), commission
- CP 124 Kain and *anr* (F W and H Hilbery) v Farrar (W Munton) SJ
- Ex 125 Silberberg (in person) v Cerati (J Hill)
- QB 126 Morgan (Ingledew, I and G) v Finlay (Hollams, Son and C), commission
- CP 127 Smith (Parker and Co) v Kirby (Ingledew, I and G) SJ
- CP 128 Armstrong and *ors* (Lawrance, P and B) v Callender (Drake and Son) SJ
- QB 129 New South Wales Marine Assurance Co (Hollams, Son and C) v Rendle (Waltons, B and W), commission SJ
- QB 130 Berliner (A G Ditton) v Krahn and Gobbers (Wild, Band B)
- QB 131 Alexander (J C Campbell) v McMaster (Venning, R and J), stayed
- QB 132 Allen and *ors* (C O Humphreys and Son) v Coker (G Presswell)
- QB 133 Ochoe and Co (Hollams, Son and C) v Aspinwall and Co (Druce, Sons and J), commission SJ
- CP 134 Anderson and *ors* (Parker and Co) v Pellier (Kearney, Son and H) SJ
- CP 135 Bailey (J R Chidley) v Lancashire and Yorkshire Ry (Clarke, W and Co) SJ
- Ex 136 Arney (Gregory and Co) v Goldwell and Co (T Clay)
- QB 137 Denton (King and W) v Goode (E D Lewis) SJ
- QB 138 Silva and *anr* (W A Crump and *son*) v Larkins and *anr* (Lowless and Co), commission
- Ex 139 Cave and *ors* (R S Gregson) v James (Bridges, S and Co) SJ
- Ex 140 Swarder (C J Rawlings) v Gooch (W R Preston)
- CP 141 Sandys (Jas Neal) v Florence (Pattison, W and Co) SJ
- Ex 142 Brown (T H E Ford) v Groom (Pownall, Son, C and K), Groom (T H E Ford) v Brown (Pownall, Son, C and K), consolidated, postponed
- CP 143 The London and Hanseatic Bank, *limd* (Trinders and C H) v Banque Franco-Egyptienne (A G Ditton) SJ
- QB 144 Waterhouse (Lawford and Co) v London and South-Western Ry Co (M H Hall) SJ
- Ex 145 Belmont and Co (Freshfields and W) v Shipman (Sole, T and Co) SJ
- Ex 146 Raphael and Co (Same) v Same (Same) SJ
- Ex 147 Samuel Montagu and Co (Same) v Same (Same) SJ
- Ex 148 Tiffany and Co (Same) v Same (Same) SJ
- Ex 149 Adams (H W Christmas) v Great Eastern Ry Co (C A Curwen) SJ
- QB 150 Leslie and Co, *limd* (Courtenay and C) v Gale and Co (Wontner and Son) SJ
- CP 151 Leary and Co (Markby, S and Co) v Wiarda (G J Jennings), commission
- Ex 152 Jones, Bros and Co (Phelps, S and B) v Lyon and *anr* (G Badham) SJ
- Ex 153 De Bergue and Co, *limd* (Wilkins, B and F) v Ward and *ors* (Penceek and G; Pritchard and Son; J M Chamberlain) SJ
- QB 154 Liverellyn and *anr* (Carr, B, D and M) v Clinbon and *anr* (Lawrance, P and Co; Randall and A) SJ
- Ex 155 Duns and *anr*, trading, &c (A J Thomas) v Gilling (Boulton and Sons)
- QB 156 The Central African Trade Co, *limd* (Flux and Co) v Walsh, Bros (Milne, R and M), commission

- C P 157 Coates (Stevens and H) v London and South-Western Ry Co (M H Hall) SJ
- C P 158 Abbott (J W Heritage) v North Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
- C P 159 Smeed, Dean and Co, lmd (Farlow and J) v Rowton and anr (Farnfields) SJ
- Q B 160 Anderson and anr (C T Foster) v Oppenheimer (Pilgrimage and P) SJ
- Q B 161 Falkenberg and Hesse (Hollams, Son and C) v Kumpers and Co (W A Crump and Son) SJ
- C P 162 Burton (Pyke and M) v Rayner (Ellis, M and C) SJ
- Chy 163 Bivaz (Waltons, B and W) v Gerussi (Hollams, Son and C) SJ
- Ex 164 Rawley (H W Christmas) v Metropolitan Ry Co (Burchells) SJ
- Ex 165 Barber (Roscoe, H and S) v Taylor (A G Ditton)
- Ex 166 Perry (Lewis, M and L) v Gould and anr (W F Morris)
- Q B 167 Lander and anr (Stibbard, G and C) v Westcott and anr (Parker and Co) SJ
- C P 168 Cochran (J I Irving) v Collard and ors (Warry, R and B; White, R and Co)
- Q B 169 Agar (B Hutchinson) v Teuten (W Norris)
- Q B 170 Morrell (J Langton) v Brown (W Elgood)
- C P 171 Gremer (Carr, F and Co) v Semples (G B B Norman)
- C P 172 Standard Lubricating Oils Co, lmd (H Kimber and Co) v Smith (Champion and Co), commission
- C P 173 Johns (Crock and S) v North Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
- C P 174 Acres (Same) v Same (Same) SJ
- Ex 175 Burnup (G and W Webb) v Windas and anr, trading, & (Lyne and H)
- Ex 176 Adams (C F B Birchall) v Birch (W H Lydall)
- Ex 177 Corby (C Mossop) v Warwick (Speechey and Co)
- Ex 178 Mulligan (W A Grestorex) v Caldwell (J B Towse)
- C P 179 Watson (C Sawbridge) v Higgins (A S M Vosper)
- Ex 180 Terry (Goldring and J) v Seaton (Matthews and W)
- Q B 181 Gibbs (A G Ditton) v Cox (Wild, B and B)
- Q B 182 Ryland and McMaster (Cattens, J and H) v Vogan (Smith, F and L)
- Q B 183 Shaw and ors (Ingledew, I and G) v Siemens Bros (Johnson, U and Co) SJ
- C P 184 Le Patourel (Ashurst, M and Co) v Hudson (Peacock and G) SJ
- C P 185 Lambert (Angell and I Terry) v Saunders (Hewitt and A)
- C P 186 Beeson (Farnfields) v Cullford and ors (Hollams, Son and C), commission
- Ex 187 Brough (Hubert Wood) v Cordery (Duffield and B)
- Q B 188 Ellwood (Bolton and Co) v Chaplin and Horne (R F Roberts) SJ
- C P 189 Stokes (T Wells) v Kromschroder (J S Lickorish)
- Ex 190 Sir H M M Thompson (Murray, H and S) v McHenry (Gregory and Co), stayed SJ
- Q B 191 Schweiler and Co (F Bradley) v Pain (Farnfields)
- Q B 192 Thringham (Lowless and Co) v Arnott and ors (Dawes and Son) SJ
- Q B 193 Same (Same) v The Cork Harbour Docks and Warehouses Co (Same) SJ
- Ex 194 Hoare (K F B Harston) v Lewis (S Betteley)
- C P 195 Eyre (A Vosper) v Drawbridge (in person), stayed
- Q B 196 Dale and Spring (Hollams, Son and C) v Wakefield (Waltons, B and W), commission SJ
- C P 197 Rasch (Llewellyn, A and H) v Goodwin (S T Cooper)
- C P 198 Bridgeman Brickfields Co lmd (W H Roberts) v Hatton (Tilley and S)
- Q B 199 Throop (Young and Sons) v De Forende Dampmoller (Hollams, Son and C)
- Ex 200 Bennett (S F Langham) v London, Brighton, & Co, Ry Co (Norton, R and Co) SJ
- Q B 201 Browning (Fry and H) v Dettmar (Wilde, B, M and W)
- C P 202 Ward (T B Watson) v Parrick (R W Suckpole)
- Q B 203 Harvey and Co (Morgan and G) v Reddin (D Birt)
- C P 204 Turnbull, Stewart and Co (Waltons, B and W) v De Putron (Stocken and Co), commission SJ
- Ex 205 Tyser and Balme (H S Winnett) v Komatski (Crouch and S)
- C P 206 Tucker (Waltons, B and W) v Silva (Hollams, Son and C) SJ
- Q B 207 Woodhouse and anr (Bowker, P, B and C) v Hampton (W Rawlins) SJ
- Q B 208 Sandford (L Keays) v Smith (J and F Needham)
- Q B 209 Inghall, Phillips and Co (Hollams, Son and C) v Davies (F Bradley) SJ
- Q B 210 Dickinson (Haywards, K and S) v S Stanbridge (Clapham and F)
- C P 211 Gabb and wife (T Beard and Sons) v N Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
- C P 212 Finch (H Montagu) v Dixon (Argles and R), commission SJ
- Q B 213 Flanagan (Hollams, Son and C) v Midland Ry Co (Beale, M and Co) SJ
- Ex 214 Aimers (H W Christmas) v East and West India Dock Co (Freshfields and W) SJ
- C P 215 Slater and Wife (T Beard and Sons) v N Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
- C P 216 Walker (J W Heritage) v Thornton and Pettingill (in person)
- C P 217 Newman (J Croft) v Job (C Mossop)
- C P 218 Currier (Fry and H) v Adams (J W Heritage)
- Ex 219 Polson and anr (E N Mason) v Tennant (Darley and C) SJ
- Ex 220 Bevington (Lewis, M and L) v Vaughan (W H Herbert) SJ
- Ex 221 Jones and anr (Popplestone and B) v Hough and anr (Lyne and H) SJ
- C P 222 Cox (J F Bannister and F) v Ashton and ors (Hunter and B)
- C P 223 Harris (Ley and B) v McManan (C A Curwood) SJ
- Q B 224 Penfold (Greenhill and B) v Nathan (Peters, S and B)
- Q B 225 Pages (Longcroft and M) v Herbert (Lewis and Whitel)
- Q B 226 Milburn (G and W Webb) v N Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
- Q B 227 Alt (G Badham) v Goodlake (F L Scames) SJ
- Q B 228 Brown (W G Payne) v Priest (S T Cooper)
- Ex 229 Hough and Co (Lyne and H) v Manzanoe and Co (Ingledew, I and G) SJ
- C P 230 Jones (H Montagu) v O'Brien (F Clift)
- C P 231 Vanner (J Chapman and J) v Bennett (Dawson and Co)
- Ex 232 Barton (W Bohn) v Blundell (Books and Co)
- Ex 233 Holland (Plunkess and L) v Garmham (Wright and Law)
- Ex 234 Hopcraft and Co (E D Lewis) v Morton (Linklater and Co)
- Q B 235 Stiebel Bros (Hollams, Son and C) v Cumming (Waltons, B and W), stayed SJ
- Q B 236 Same (Same) v Alliance Marine Insurance Co (Same) SJ
- Q B 237 Same (Same) v Usielli (Same) SJ
- C P 238 Heawood (F W and H Hilbery) v Hatton and anr (Tilley and S)
- C P 239 Tatton (T Bettely) v Berghelm (Crump and Son) SJ
- Ex 240 Hackett (Scard and Son) v Glsby (Robert Wood)
- Ex 241 Viney, Truston, &c. (Phelps, S and B) v Birckley and Co (J McDiarmid)
- C P 242 Hume (Harper, B and B) v Wilson (Glynes, Son and C)
- Ex 243 Hardy (Tatham and Sons) v Bird (G H K and G A Fisher) SJ
- Q B 244 Schulte and Schemann (Hollams, Son and C) v Hopkins Gilkes and Co (Van Sandau and C) SJ
- Q B 245 Rosenblum (Brighten, B and N) v Commercial Steamship Co, lmd (G Kebbell)
- C P 246 Boord, trading, &c (E Hughes) v Bell (Webster and G)
- C P 247 Dillargies and A) v Sea Insurance Co, lmd (Gregory and Co) SJ
- C P 248 Same (Same) v Whitworth and Bros (Robinson and H) SJ
- Q B 249 Bagster (Williamson, H and Co) v Scriven (Marson and D) SJ
- Ex 250 Raphael (W B Harro) v Osmond and Co (Bartlett and G)
- Q B 251 Anglo-Deutsche Bank in Hamburg (Hollams, Son and C) v Hagedorn and Co (Deane, C and Co) SJ
- C P 252 Norris (Pyke and M) v Hopcraft and Co (J Rae)
- C P 253 Hornbuckle (Pitman and L) v Noble and ors (J Holder)
- Q B 254 Weir (Ford, L and B) v Hart (Esher, M and Co)
- Q B 255 Howes (Simpson and C) v Shaw (Wist, K A and Co) SJ
- C P 256 Berla, Cotrim and Co (Norton, R and Co) v E Pellas and Co (Lowless and Co), commission SJ
- Q B 257 Gidney, Clark and Co (G Kebbell) v Hall (J S Kisch)
- Q B 258 Stiebel Bros (Hollams, Son and C) v Pitman (Waltons, B and W), stayed SJ
- Q B 259 J Chabot and Co (Same) v Bischoff (Same), commission SJ
- C P 260 Fowler (W Carpenter and Sons) v Scratchley (T Noton)
- Q B 261 Scantlebury (Evans and E) v Goodlake (F L Scames) SJ
- C P 262 Sani (W Shearman) v Ebdon (Wood and H)
- Ex 263 Miller and anr (Miller and M) v Davies (J M Green)
- Ex 264 Reed (Curtis and B) v Arbib (Wild, B and B) SJ
- C P 265 Martin and ors (C W Stevens) v Bruges (Abrahams and R) SJ
- Q B 266 Hyde (F Bradley) v Brown (Procter and A)
- Q B 267 Duffy (Same) v Davids and anr (H C Godfrey)
- C P 268 Rousenberg (Noon and C) v Cadoch and Wife (Buchanan and R)
- Q B 269 Gun Cotton Co, lmd (Nash and F) v Diamond Rock Boring Co, lmd (Norton, R and Co) SJ
- Q B 270 Cheston (Cheston and Sons) v Davis (C H Pullen)
- Ex 271 Turner and anr (Hogan and H) v Corker and anr (Peacock and G)
- Ex 272 Marshall (W B Preston) v The Globe Cement Co (Fitz Payne)
- Ex 273 Brown (R S Fraser) v Nickols (J Scarlett)
- Ex 274 Abdy, Bart (Birch, I and H) v Cornelius (R J Bowerman) SJ
- Q B 275 Joseph and Wife (Evans and E) v Metropolitan Ry Co (Burchells) SJ
- Ex 276 Honey (F A H Terrell) v Humphrys (Western and Son)
- Ex 277 Taylor (H W Christmas) v Giles (W T Hamlin)
- Ex 278 Gartell (Same) v Norris (W B Abbott)
- Ex 279 Parker (R I Sydney and Son) v Sandford (G Beall)
- Q B 280 Jones (Elmslie, F and S) v T Robinson and Son (W Story)
- C P 281 Jones (Digby and J) v Williamson (H W Chatterton)
- Q B 282 Eklof (Stokes, S and S) v Greenhow and Co (Billinghurst and W) SJ
- C P 283 Beard and anr (Gush and P) v Mordaunt and anr (Williamson, H and Co)
- Q B 284 Frankenberg (Goldberg and L) v The Artizans, Labourers and General Dwellings Co lmd (Ashurst, M and Co)
- C P 285 Harris (J Croft) v Petherick and anr (Walker, T B and W)
- Q B 286 Schwarses and wife (Goldberg and L) v Great Northern Ry Co (Nelson, B and N) SJ
- C P 287 Cory and ors (Farnfields) v Freeman (Pritchard and Sons)
- C P 288 Lincoln (Herbert and Kent) v Ryde and Newport Ry Co (Porter and S)
- Q B 289 Shepherd and Co (J and E Cole) v Waymouth (Bichford and Co)
- Q B 290 Lindsay (Law, H and H) v Bergen (G S Warmington)
- C P 291 Rodway (F J and G J Braikenridge) v Bevers (Waltons, B and W) SJ
- Q B 292 Tasker (Whyte, C and F) v Tasker (E S Cavell)
- Ex 293 Boyd and Co (Rooks and Co) v Day (G Lucas)
- Ex 294 Candy and Co (Same) v Same (Same)
- Ex 295 Same (Same) v Same (Same)
- Ex 296 Kerr (Roy and C) v Done (H C Morris) SJ
- C P 297 Wymor (Wright and Law) v Sheather (J Bowen May) SJ
- Ex 298 Staines (H W Christmas) v F Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
- Ex 299 Forrester and Wife (Same) v Same (Same) SJ
- Ex 300 Ellis (Same) v North Western Ry Co (R F Roberts) SJ
- Ex 301 Miller (Miller and M) v Dance (G Badham)
- C P 302 Ward (R Bridger) v Hunter (Sharpe and U)
- C P 303 Borties (Johnson, U, B and A) v Porvian Guano Co, lmd (J B Beaton) SJ
- C P 304 Dixon (Argles and A) v Hope (Gregory and Co) SJ
- C P 305 Solomon and anr (Pyke and M) v Australian Mortgage Co (R Miller and W) SJ
- Ex 306 Bennett and Co, lmd (Remahaw and R) v Brooks, woad, &c. (Whites, R and Co)

Q B 307 Fox and Bousfield (J Rae) v Hardy (Byrne and L)
 Ex 308 Garnier (W S Harris) v White (Angell and I Terry)
 Ex 309 Vickers and anr (W Tanner) v Bird and ore (Wilde, B M and W), stayed
 Ex 310 Gardner (Randall and A) v Withernsea Pier, &c, Co (Milne, R and M)
 Q B 311 Penley (C Fitch) v Swanborough (Lewis and L)
 Ex 312 Parraack (Evans and E) Robbins (C F Yorke)
 Ex 313 Minto (J S Lickorish) v London Tramway Co, lmd (H C Godfray) SJ

SALE OF ENSUING WEEK.

March 26.—Messrs. EDWIN FOX & BOUSFIELD, at the Mart, at 2 p.m., freehold and leasehold properties (see advertisements, this week, page 4, and March 8, page 4).

BIRTHS, MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

BIRTH.

GRAHAM.—Mar. 18, at Fairfield House, Putney, the wife of William Graham, barrister-at-law of a son.

MARRIAGE.

WALKER—TRAVIS.—Mar. 14, at St. Catherine's Church, Ventnor, Isle of Wight, James William Walker, LL.B., barrister-at-law, assistant-judge and judge of sessions, Satara, Bombay Presidency, to Anne Charlotte, daughter of S. H. Travis, police magistrate of Hull.

DEATHS.

DINGWALL.—March 13, at 28, Sutherland-gardens, W., Arthur Dingwall, advocate, late of Edinburgh, aged 81.
 LEWIS.—March 13, George Coleman Hamilton Lewis, of No. 20, Woburn-place, Russell-square, solicitor, aged 73.
 PARKER.—March 17, Arnold Parker, solicitor, Kenyon House, Brincliffe, Sheffield, aged 46.
 WHITEHURST.—March 13, at Burwood Lodge, West Brixton, S.W., Charles Howard Whitehurst, Q.C.

PUBLIC COMPANIES.

March 20, 1879.

GOVERNMENT FUNDS.

3 per Cent. Consols, 97½	Annuities, April, '88, 98
Ditto for Account, Apr. 3, 97½	Do. (Red Sea T.) Aug. 1908
Do. 3 per Cent. Reduced, 95½	Ex Bills, £1000, 2½ per Ct. 12 pm.
New 3 per Cent., 98½	Ditto, £500, Do. 12 pm.
Do. 3½ per Cent., Jan. '94	Ditto, £100 & £500, 12 pm.
Do. 2½ per Cent., Jan. '94	Bank of England Stock, 256 xd
Annuities, Jan. '80	Ditto for Account.

INDIAN GOVERNMENT SECURITIES.

Ind. Stk., 5 per Cent., July, '80, 103	Inf. Pr. ½ per Cent., May, 81
Ditto for Account.	Ditto Debentures, 4 per Cent,
Ditto 4 per Cent., Oct. '88, 100½	April, '84
Ditto, ditto, Certificates—	Do. Do. 5 per Cent., Aug. '73
Ditto Enforced Ppr., 4 per Cent.	Do. Bonds, 4 per Cent. £1000
2nd Inf. Pr., 5 per C., Jan. '73	Ditto, ditto, under £1000

RAILWAY STOCK.

Railways.	Paid.	Closing Price.
Stock Bristol and Exeter	100	—
Stock Caledonian	100	95
Stock Glasgow and South-Western	100	84
Stock Great Eastern Ordinary Stock	100	53½
Stock Great Northern	100	114
Stock Do., A Stock	100	114
Stock Great Southern and Western of Ireland	100	110
Stock Great Western—Original	100	94
Stock Lancashire and Yorkshire	100	117½
Stock London, Brighton, and South Coast	100	122
Stock London, Chatham, and Dover	100	25½
Stock London and North-Western	100	139½
Stock London and South-Western	100	129½
Stock Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincoln	100	71½ n
Stock Metropolitan	100	111½
Stock Do., District	100	60½
Stock Midland	100	123
Stock North British	100	82½
Stock North Eastern	100	134
Stock North London	100	102
Stock North Staffordshire	100	53
Stock South Devon	100	—
Stock South-Eastern	100	123

* A receives no dividend until 6 per cent. has been paid to B.

LONDON GAZETTES.

Professional Partnerships Dissolved.

FRIDAY, MAR. 14, 1879.

Oxley, John, Robert Pashley, and Peter Bancroft Coward, Rotherham, York, Solicitors. March 1

TUESDAY, MAR. 18, 1879.

Harrison, Thomas, Clement Walmley, and Alfred Harrison, Manchester, Solicitors. Feb 26

Winding up of Joint Stock Companies.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

FRIDAY, MARCH 11, 1879.

Argyll Coal and Cannel Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Mar 11, directed to be heard before V.C. Hall on Mar 28. Cunliffe and Co. Chancery lane, agents for Darbishire and Tatham, Manchester, solicitors for the petitioner

Brianna Cotton Spinning and Manufacturing Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Mar 10, directed to be heard before V.C. Hall, on Mar 28. Brownlow and Howe, Bedford row, agents for Worth, Rochdale, solicitors for the petitioners

Brooks, Greenwood, and Company, Limited.—Creditors are required on or before Apr 15, to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims to Edward Woodcock, Rochdale. Tuesday, Apr 29 at 11 is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims

General Banking Company, Limited.—V.C. Bacon has fixed Mar 24 at 12 at his chambers as the time and place for the appointment of an official liquidator

Gotthardt Commercial Company, Limited.—V.C. Malins, has by an order dated Feb 7 appointed Robert Palmer Harding, Old Jewry, and Theodore Voigt, to be official liquidators

Grimsey Abbey Walk Building Company, Limited.—By an order made by V.C. Bacon, dated Mar 8, it was ordered that the above company be wound up. Williamson and Co, Sherborne lane, agents for Stephenson and Mountain, Great Grimsey, solicitors for the petitioners

Healey Spinning Company, Limited.—The M.R. has by an order dated Jan 24, appointed Roger Tatham, Rochdale, to be official liquidator

Hull Central Drapery Company, Limited.—Petition for the voluntary winding up of the above company may be continued, presented Mar 11, directed to be heard before V.C. Hall on Mar 28. Cunliffe and Co, Chancery lane, agents for Todd, Kingston-upon-Hall, solicitor for the petitioners

COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

FRIDAY, MARCH 14, 1879.

Syke Mill Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up, presented March 10, directed to be heard before the V.C., at the Assize Court, Strangeways, Manchester, on March 25. Shipley and Field, Manchester, solicitors for the petitioner

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

TUESDAY, MAR. 18, 1879.

Advance Bank, Limited.—The M.R. has by an order, dated Feb 10, appointed Frederick Bertram Smart, Cannon st, to be official liquidator. Creditors are required on or before Apr 18, to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims to the above. Friday, May 2 at 11 is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims

Bristol Bottle Works Company, Limited.—By an order made by V.C. Bacon, dated Mar 8, it was ordered that the voluntary winding up of the above company be continued. Godden, Lime st, agents for Murly and Sons, Bristol, solicitors for the petitioner

Cheltenham Imperial Winter Garden and Skating Rink Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Mar 15, directed to be heard before the M.R., on Mar 29. Peacock and Goddard, South st, Gray's Inn, agents for Brydges and Mellersh, Cheltenham, solicitors for the petitioners

Debenture Bond and Mortgage Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Mar 17, directed to be heard before V.C. Hall, on Mar 28. Crook and Smith, Abchurch lane, solicitors for the petitioners

General Works Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Feb 28, directed to be heard before V.C. Bacon, on Mar 29. Seall and Greenip, George st. Mansion House, solicitors for the petitioners

Healey New Mill Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Mar 13, directed to be heard before V.C. Hall, on Mar 28. Clarke and Son, Serjeant's Inn, Fleet st, agents for Haigh and Co, Horbury Bridge, solicitors for the petitioners

Healey Spinning Company, Limited.—Creditors are required on or before Apr 22 to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims to Roger Tatham, Rochdale. Tuesday, Apr 29 at 12 is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims

Rosedale and Ferryhill Iron Company, Limited.—Creditors are required on or before Mar 31, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims to George Dyson, Edward Williams, and John Marley, Royal Exchange, Middlesborough

UNLIMITED IN CHANCERY.

TUESDAY, MAR. 18, 1879.

Lostwithiel and Fowey Railway Company.—Petition presented Mar 4, praying the confirmation of the scheme of arrangement between the company and their creditors, directed to be heard before Mr. Justice Fry, on Apr 4. Lambert and Co, John st, Bedford row, and Hand, Exeter, solicitors for the petitioners

COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

TUESDAY, MAR. 18, 1879.

Moorside Fire Brick, Tile, and Coal Company, Limited.—By an order made by the V.C., dated Mar 13, it was ordered that all further pro-

ceedings under the order of Feb 18 be stayed, and that the voluntary winding up of the above company be continued. Mather, Liverpool, solicitor for the petitioners

Friendly Societies Dissolved.

TUESDAY, March 18, 1879.

Britannia Permanent Benevolent Society, Odd Fellows' Hall, St Ann st, Liverpool. Mar 13
Suffron Walden Industrial and Provident Coal Supply Society, Limited, Suffron Walden, Essex. Mar 13

Creditors under Estates in Chancery.

Last Day of Proof.

FRIDAY, Mar. 14, 1879.

Brook, Mary Anne, Pettistree, Suffolk. Apr 12. Barker v. Moor, M.R.
Pollard, Ipswich
Harping, John, Warnley way, Wandsworth, Gent. Apr 1. Payne v. Harding, V.C. Malins. Hantley, Tooley st, Southwark
Hill, Mary Frances, London Park Villas. Apr 9. Richards v. Hill, V.C. Malins. Freeman, Gutter lane
Irish, William, Chestow. Monmouth, Draper. Apr 19. Ivins v. Ivins.
Pugh, Josiah, Claines, Worcester, Gent. Apr 11. Pugh v. Clifford, M.R. Tree, Worcester
Bainill, Steven, Penley, Flint, Esq. Apr 11. Bainill v. Bannister, M.R. Farrington, Manchester
Havenscroft, Edward, Knighton, Radnor, Station Master. Apr 15. Harding v. Havenscroft, V.C. Malins. Clarke, Shrewsbury
Skett, William, Birmingham, Builder. Apr 15. Freeman v. Potter, V.C. Hall. Dale, Birmingham
Steele, Elizabeth, Wary St. Edmunds. Apr 7. Green v. King, V.C. Bacon. King, Walsham-le-Willows
Bunt, James, Lickey Hills, Bromsborough, Hotel Keeper. Apr 10. Hunt v. Thornton, V.C. Malins. Tyler, Lincoln's inn fields

TUESDAY, Mar 18, 1879.

Clarke, Mary Anne, Liverpool. Apr 18. Bagshaw v. Clarke, M.R. Webster, Liverpool
Greenwood, Son, and Gardner, Bailey Carr, Woolen Manufacturers. Apr 15. Ward v. Marriott, M.R. Good, Market pl, Dewsbury
Kensall, Joseph, Hanley, Fishmonger. Apr 15. Peake v. Kensall, V.C. Hall. Tennant, Hanley
Kane, James, King's Lynn. Apr 16. Jernyn v. Nurse, V.C. Malins. Beloe, King's Lynn
Roberts, Robert, Mare st, Hackney, Gent. Apr 19. Roberts v. Roberts, V.C. Hall. Thompson and Co, Road lane
Sheppard, Henry, Bath, Licensed Victualler. Apr 12. Morrish v. Sheppard, V.C. Malins. Gibbs, Jun, Bath

Creditors under 22 & 23 Viet. cap. 35.

Last Day of Claim.

FRIDAY, March 14, 1879.

Allanson, William, Cloughton, York, Farmer. Mar 29. Richardson, Scarborough
Ball, William George, Oundle, Northampton, Draper. April 19. Edmonds and Pooley, Oundle
Barnett, Harriett, Gloucester place, Portman sq. April 18. Emanuel and Simmonds, Finsbury circus
Baugh, Benjamin, Stanhope st, Euston rd, Builder. May 12. Nicholls, Lincoln's-inn-fields
Bunce, Thomas, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Retired Hair Dresser, April 30. Carriss and Co, Newcastle-upon-Tyne
Bucks, Edward, Edmonton, Middlesex, Farmer. May 11. Richardson and Foxwell, Gt Hadham
Brand, James Ainsworth, Old Broad st, Gent, June 16. Trinders and Co, Bishopgate st Within
Buckrell, George, Middle Chinnock, Somerset, Yeoman. April 15. Sparks and Blake, Cerkewree
Bull, George, Brighton, Grocer. June 24. King and Son, Brighton
Caldman, Henry, Frensham, Surrey, Gent. April 19. Cann and Son, Finchurch st
Cox, William, Fishmonger's place, Wood Green, late in the employ of the New River Company. May 1. Nutt and Co, Erabant cty, Philpot lane
Crabtree, John, Manningham, Bradford, out of business. May 1. Terry and Robinson, Bradford
Day, William, Brighton, Gent. April 30. King and Son, Brighton
Foulger, William, Ipswich, Farmer. April 15. Aldons, Ipswich
Gardner, John, Jun., Liverpool, Upholsterer. April 24. Miller and Co, Liverpool
Geitz, Adolphus, Bradford, York, Stuff Manufacturer. May 31. Wood and Co, Bradford
Gibbs, Elizabeth Sarah, Bellefield st, Brixton. April 15. Humphreys, East India-chambers, Leadenhall st
Hammond, George Thomas, Canterbury, Gent. April 14. Plummer and Fielding, Canterbury
Harrison, Matthew Benson, Datchett, Bucks, Esq. May 1. Swinburne and Parker, Bedford row
Harshorn, Harriett, Ramsgate, Kent. April 21. Snowden, Ramsgate
Hedley, Sarah, Hexham, Northumberland. April 2. Kircopp, Hexham
Hindle, William, Sherington, Lancaster, Farmer. June 11. Whitaker, Lancaster place, Strand
Holditch, Susanna Jane, Brighton, Sussex, April 14. Downing and Co, Redruth
Inch, Elizabeth, Brighton. May 26. Haynes and Clifton, Romford
Innes, John Sorton, Carnarvon, Grocer. April 1. Jones, Bridge st, Carnarvon
Kew, Maria, Norwich. May 1. Meadows and Elliott, Hastings
Lambert, John, Ipswich, Hardwareman. April 15. Lambert, Ipswich

Lorrimer, Thomas, Aikendale, York, Yeoman. May 1. Gill and Son, Knaresborough
Milton, Edmund, High st, Poplar, Oil and Colourman. May 1. Baker and Nairns, Crosby sq
Nodes, Henry Oliver, Brecknock rd, Camden rd, Gent. April 25. Peacock, South sq, Gray's inn
Penrose, Robert Owst, Stamford bridge, York, Yeoman. May 1. Ware, York
Phibos, John Kingston, Sutherland gardens, Harrow rd, a Retired Colonel in Her Majesty's Indian Army. April 30. Cuff, St Martin's lane
Potter, George Mitchel, Wine and Spirit Merchant, Godalming, Surrey. April 24. Potter, Farnham
Rowley, Samuel, Sheffield, out of business. April 14. Rodgers and Co, Sheffield
Short, Mary, Overcliffe, Gravesend. April 23. Tolhurst, Gravesend
Simpson, William, Carlton-on-Trent, Nottingham, Farmer. April 9. Marshall, East Retford
Snell, George, Fitton, Devon, Gent. May 1. Fulford, North Tawton
Stanley, Archer, Crescent place, Mornington crescent, Artist. May 1. Bertram, Chancery lane
Stevens, George Monckton, Goudhurst, Kent, Stationer. May 1. Hinds and Son, Goudhurst
Tuffs, George, Blenheim st, Chelsea, Gent. April 10. Eardley and Co, Charles st, St James sq
Wass, John Finion, Swinestead, Lincoln, Gent. August 1. Staniland and Wigglesworth, Boston
Westbury, Eliza, Princes sq, Kennington. April 10. Cox, Lincoln's-inn-fields
Whaley, Thomas, Orrell, nr Wigan, Colliery Manager. June 11. Whitaker, Lancaster place, Strand
Wiltshire, George Charl s, River st, Myddleton sq, Esq. April 30. Budd and Son, Bedford row
Woodcock, Henry, Norwich Esq. May 1. Taylor and Sons, Norwich

Bankrupts.

FRIDAY, March 14, 1879.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.

To Surrender in London.

Delvalle, Walter, Casella road, Old Kent road, Commission Agent. Pet March 12. Brougham. March 28 at 11.30
Fry, John William, Hanover square Club, Hanover square, Retired Colonel. Pet March 12. Brougham. March 25 at 11
Glover, Benjamin, Maltby st, Bermondsey, Wheelwright. Pet March 11. Murray. March 26 at 1
Goelling, Alfred, Cloak lane, Cannon st, Merchant. Pet March 11. Murray. March 26 at 2
Schleifer, Manrico, King st, Merchant. Pet March 11. Murray. March 28 at 11

To Surrender in the Country.

Carr, Alban Edward, Maidstone, Builder. Pet March 11. Scudamere. Maidstone, March 27 at 11
Bear, John, and Edwin Fox, Manchester, Drapers. Pet March 10. Lister, Manchester, March 27 at 11
Gibbon, Watson, Harrogate, York, Poultry Dealer. Pet March 11. Perkins. York, March 26 at 10
Harris, Solomon, New-castle-on-Tyne, Dealer in Works of Art. Pet March 11. Doggett. Newcastle, March 25 at 11
Hughes, Elizabeth, Brynirion Rhiwryfyr, Merioneth, Bootmaker. Pet March 11. Jones. Bangor, March 28 at 2
James, Frederick Wathen Marge's, Cheltenham, out of business. Pet March 10. Gale. Cheltenham, March 25 at 11
Levitt, George, Bradford, York, Grocer. Pet March 7. Robinson. Bradford, March 25 at 9
Mackey, Robert, Chestham, Manchester. Pet March 11. Hulton. Salford, March 28 at 11
Mansell, Thomas, Reading, Lieutenant-Colonel. Pet March 8. Collins. Reading, March 29 at 2
Sanderson, Thomas, Warendford, Northumberland, Farmer. Pet March 11. Daggett. Newcastle, March 25 at 11
Thomas, John Saunders, Ferry-side, Carmarthen, Commercial Traveller. Pet March 10. Lloyd. Carmarthen, March 25 at 12
Vincett, George, Ashford, Kent, Licensed Victualler. Pet March 8. Furley. Canterbury, March 28 at 3

TUESDAY, Mar. 18, 1879.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.

To Surrender in London.

Boulcott, Herbert Charles, Sun street, Railway Guide Publisher. Pet Mar 13. Hazlitt. Apr 2 at 11.
Carpenter, John Howard, Westminster Chambers, Victoria street, Engineering Agent. Pet Mar 15. Hazlitt. Apr 3 at 11
Schacher, Ulrich, Leadenhall street, Steam Ship Broker. Pet Mar 15. Hazlitt. Apr 4 at 11.

To Surrender in the Country.

Baxter, Abraham, Ashby-de-la-Zouch, Leicester, Tailor. Pet Mar 13. Hubbard. Boston-on-Trent, Apr 1 at 1.30.
Cuff, Ann, Trowbridge, Wilts, out of business. Pet Mar 15. Smith. Bath, Mar 29 at 12.30.
Grey, Fussey, Great Grimby, Builder. Pet Mar 12. Danbey. Great Grimby, Mar 29 at 12.
Inch, Christopher, Torquay, Devon, Hackney Carriage Proprietor. Pet Mar 14. Daw. Exeter, Mar 31 at 3.
Kingsland, Alfred, Birmingham, Draper. Pet Mar 13. Cole. Birmingham, Apr 4 at 2.
McLellan, John, Leeds, out of business. Pet Mar 11. Marshall. Leeds, Apr 9 at 11.

Nettleton, Joseph, and James Carey Nettleton, Manchester, Tailors. Pet Mar 14. Lister, Manchester, Mar 31 at 11.
 Roberts, William, Ewerby, Lincoln, Farmer. Pet Mar 12. Staniland, Boston, Apr 3 at 12.30.
 Smith, Peter, Bentworth, Hants, Farmer. Pet Mar 12. Godwin, Winchester, Apr 2 at 2.
 Spence, George, Ilkeston, Derby, Cab Proprietor. Pet Mar 14. Waller, Derby, Apr 3 at 12.30.
 Stinley, William, Earls Heaton, nr Dewsbury, Innkeeper. Pet Mar 13. Nelson, Dewsbury, Apr 3 at 3.
 Thornton, Asariah, Hunslet, Leeds, Engineers' Foreman. Pet Mar 12. Marshall, Leeds, Apr 9 at 11.
 Whittaker, Thomas, Hanley, Stafford, Butty Collier. Pet Feb 26. Tennant, Hanley, Mar 31 at 11.

BANKRUPTCIES ANNULLED.

FRIDAY, Mar. 14, 1879.

Charles, William, Aston-juxta-Birmingham, Oil Merchant. March 11
 Irving, Robert, Aspadria, Cumberland, Tailor. March 10
 Mason, Alfred, Bradford, Advertising Agent. March 7
 Miller, Thomas, Preston, Lancaster, Bookseller. March 11

Liquidations by Arrangement.

FIRST MEETINGS OF CREDITORS.

FRIDAY, March 14, 1879.

Adams, Charles, York terrace, Finsbury park, House Decorator. March 24 at 3 at offices of Miles, King Edward st, Newgate st
 Alder, William, Cymmer, Royal st, Lambeth, Cheesemonger. March 23 at 12 at offices of Van derpump, Gray's inn square
 Aldous, John Thomas, Lee, Kent, Ironmonger. March 26 at 2.30 at Masons' Hall Tavern, Masons' avenue, Basinghall st. Kipping, Essex st, Strand
 Allen, John, Great Dunham, Norfolk, Farmer. March 24 at 2 at offices of Stanley, Bank plain, Norwich
 Ambler, Walter Bailey, Keighley, York, Worsted Spinner. March 26 at 11 at offices of Wood and Co, Commercial Bank buildings, Bradford
 Armstrong, William, Kirkbride, Cumberland, Innkeeper. March 26 at 11 at offices of McKeever, Wigton
 Bacon, Charles, Brighton, Auctioneer. April 2 at 3 at offices of Nye, North st, Brighton
 Baker, John Minto, Kingston-upon-Hull, Rullyman. March 26 at 11 at offices of Spurr, Market st, Kingston-upon-Hull
 Baker, Thomas, Llandudno, Carnarvon, Master Mariner. April 3 at 11 at offices of Beifrage and Middleton, John st, Bedford row
 Beck, Edwin, Leamington, Warwick, Baker. March 31 at 1 at Great Western Hotel, High st, Leamington. Corbett, Worcester
 Berts, James, Swineshead Fen Houses, Lincn, Farmer. March 25 at 3 at offices of Smith, New st, Boston
 Bidder, Bartholomew Parker, Waincelreh, Glamorgan, Colliery Proprietor. March 25 at 11 at Inns of Court Hotel, Holborn. Smith and Lewis, Swansea
 Bird, William, Cannock, Stafford, Grocer. March 27 at 11 at offices of Rhodes, Queen st, Wolverhampton
 Blackwell, Frederick William Burnham, Stretford, Lancaster, Commercial Traveller. March 28 at 11 at offices of Hargreaves, Tib lane, Manchester
 Bloomfield, Henry, and James Bloomfield, Gateshead, Durham, Potato Merchants. March 27 at 4 at offices of Dix, Wellington chambers, Gateshead
 Bowker, Matthew Edmondson, Ardwood, near Manchester, Dyewood Grinder. April 1 at 3 at offices of Simpson and Hockin, Mount st, Albert square, Manchester
 Bowling, Thomas, Dewsbury Moor, near Dewsbury, out of business. March 31 at 10.30 at offices of Stapleton, Union st, Dewsbury
 Brenholz, Harris Davis, Birmingham, Wholesale Jeweller. March 25 at 12 at Queen's Hotel, Birmingham. Hodgson and Haigh, Birmingham
 Brockshaw, Thomas, Crewe, Chester, Draper. March 27 at 11 at Adelphi Hotel, Crewe. Poinson, Crewe
 Brown, William, Great Driffield, York, Grocer. March 28 at 3 at Keys Hotel, Great Driffield. Foster and Co, Great Driffield
 Bruton, Francis James, Manchester, Ink Manufacturer. March 26 at 3 at Mitre Hotel, Cathedral gates, Manchester. Heath and Sons, Manchester
 Bulmer, Thomas, Easington, Durham, Brewer. March 25 at 3 at offices of Robinson and Co, Fawcett st, Sunderland
 Bunting, James, Liverpool, out of business. March 31 at 3 at offices of Comer, Victoria st, Liverpool. Browne, Liverpool
 Buryard, Harry, Tooley st, Southwark, Rope and Sack Manufacturer. April 4 at 2 at Cannon st Hotel, Low, Scott's yard, Bush lane
 Champ, James, Congleton, Chester, shoe Dealer. March 31 at 12 at Townhall passage, Congleton
 Cleves, Charles, Canton, Cardiff, Publican. March 31 at 11 at offices of Jones, Philharmonic chambers, St Mary st, Cardiff
 Coles, John Thomas, Cardiff, Bootmaker. March 28 at 12 at offices of Morgan and Scott, High st, Cardiff
 Celliys, John Barrett, Dulverton, Somerset, Surgeon. March 28 at 1 at Lamb Inn, Dulverton. Warren
 Comery, James Freeman, Prescott, Lancaster, Boot Dealer. April 2 at 3 at offices of Lupton, Harrington st, Liverpool
 Cooke, David Frederick, St Thomas's road, South Hackney, Builder. April 4 at 3 at Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st. Boyce and Midley, Brook st
 Cottrell, Charles, Balsall Heath, Worcester, Builder. March 26 at 12 at Queen's Hotel, Birmingham. Jelf, Birmingham
 Cowburn, William, and James Cowburn, Radcliffe, Lancaster, Cotton Manufacturers. March 26 at 3 at offices of Ritson and Grundy, Princess st, Manchester
 Croson, Henry, Coventry, Toy Dealer. March 27 at 2 at offices of Hemer, Upper Well st, Coventry
 Denne, Thomas, Grifion, Hereford, out of business. March 29 at 4 at offices of Corner, High st, Hereford
 Darley, Alfred, Goolie, York, Builder. March 27 at 3 at offices of Pease, Banks terrace, Goolie. Hind, Goolie
 Darvill, Thomas, Bradford, York, Hay and Straw Dealer. March 26 at 3 at offices of Beverley, Hulster gate, Bradford

Davis, John, Great Chapel st, Westminster, Licensed Victualler. April 1 at 3 at offices of Davis, New inn, Strand
 Davies, Maurice, Caerwys, Montgomery, Tailor. April 3 at 11 at offices of Powell, Broad st, Newtown
 Davison, Thomas, Middlesbrough, Painter. March 26 at 10 at offices of Ward, Albert road, Middlesbrough
 Dean, James, Derby, Elastic Web Manufacturer. April 3 at 3 at offices of Robotham, St Almand's churchyard, Derby
 Denbigh, James, Padham, Lancaster, Manufacturer. March 26 at 3 at Bull Hotel, Burnley. Blackway, Manchester
 Denner, William, Ponsford, Blaenavon, Mommouth, Innkeeper. March 28 at 3 at offices of Watkins, Club chambers, Pontypool
 Dougill, John, Bewdley, York, Innkeeper. March 25 at 12 at offices of Bateson and Hutchinson, Harrogate
 Dyer, John Charles, West Hartlepool, Durham, Grocer. March 27 at 12 at offices of Todd, Surtees st, West Hartlepool
 Eaton, Henry, Crewe, Chester, Earthenware Dealer. March 26 at 11 at Adelphi Hotel, Crewe. Poinson, Crewe
 Edmondson, Adam, Newton Heath, Lancaster, Joiner. Mar 28 at 3 at the Royal Oak Inn, Oldham rd, Newton Heath. Bradbury, Ashington-Lyne
 Edwards, John William, Monkwearmouth, Sunderland, Oil Clothing Manufacturer. Mar 6 at 12 at offices of Wright, John st, Sunderland
 Entwistle, Eli, Heaton, Lancaster, Bookseller. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Taylor and Sons, Mawdsley st, Bolton
 Evans, Edward, Aston-juxta-Birmingham, Miller. Mar 28 at 3 at the Queen's Hotel, Stephenson place, Birmingham. Barlow and Co
 Eyre, Edward Cooke, Rosemary rd, Peckham, Grocer. Mar 26 at 3 at 1, Trinity sq, Southwark. Hicklin and Washington
 Fogg, Charles, Fyfield, Essex, Farmer. Mar 28 at 11.30 at offices of Robert Blyth, Crane court, Chelmsford. Smith, High Ouse
 Forster, Charles, Marke-by-the-Sea, York, Stationer. Mar 24 at offices of Teale, Albert rd, Middlesbrough
 Garrett, Samuel, Boosbeck, near Guisborough, Pastor. Mar 27 at 2 at offices of Buchanan, Townh'ld, Guisborough
 Gilbert, George, New Broad st, Merchant. Mar 27 at 2 at offices of Mossop, Cannon st
 Gilhorne, William, Embleton, Northumberland, Butcher. Mar 26 at 3 at the Star Inn, Alnwick. Nelson, Sunderland
 Glendening, William, Redruth, Cornwall, General Dealer. Mar 27 at 3 at the Bullers' Arms Hotel, Redruth. Holloway, Redruth
 Glover, Robert Henry, Chester, Auctioneer. Mar 28 at 2.30 at offices of Bridgman and Co, Westminster buildings, Newgate st, Chester
 Goulding, Matthew, Howden, Farmer. Mar 31 at 3 at the Red Lion Hotel, Howden. Wright, Selby
 Grantham, Thomas William, Knrston-upon-Hull, Boot Maker. Mar 27 at 11 at offices of Pettigall, County buildings, Land of Green Ginger, Kingston-upon-Hull
 Greason, Thomas, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Watchmaker. Mar 26 at 11 at offices of Keenlyde and Co, St John's chambers, Grainger st West, Newcastle-upon-Tyne
 Griffiths, Owen Pictou, Brynhyfryd, nr Swansea, Grocer. Mar 24 at 3 at offices of Jelliffe, Prospect place, Swansea
 Grindrod, John, Salford, Lancaster, Traveller for a Stone Merchant. Mar 27 at 3 at the Commercial Hotel, Brown st, Manchester. Duckworth
 Grundy, William Isaac, Kingston-upon-Hull, Haberdasher. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Singleton and Martinson, Exchange buildings, Bowalley alley, Kingston-upon-Hull
 Harvey, William James, and Thomas Stovel, Bristol, Builders. Mar 27 at 12 at offices of Tricks and Co, City chambers, Nicholas st, Bristol
 Hawling, Thomas, Boston, Lincoln, Bootmaker. Mar 27 at 11 at offices of Thomas, Emery lane, Boston
 Hays, Francis Henry, Gilbert's passage, Lincoln's Inn, Licensed Victualler. Mar 24 at 4 at offices of James Shiers, Baringh st, Strand
 Hicken, John, and James Hicken, Bulwell, Nottingham, Bleachers. Mar 26 at 3 at the Assembly Room, Low pavement, Nottingham
 Everall and Turner, Nottingham
 Hockin, William, Bodeles, Suffolk, Timber Merchant. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of George Bellamy Angell, Blyburgate st, Beccles. Wiltshire, Great Yarmouth
 Holmes, Matthew, Kentish Town rd, Wine Retailer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Farmer, Queen Victoria st
 Hooton, Richard Pawan, East Dulwich, Surrey, out of business. Mar 25 at 10 at the Inns of Court Hotel, High Holborn. Mounsey and Co, Gray's inn sq
 Hockin, John, Bridgend, Glamorgan, Licensed Victualler. Mar 26 at 1 at the Castle Hotel, Bridgend. Randall, Bridgend
 Hopkins, John, Lancaster, Birkenhead, Chester, Grocer. Mar 26 at 3 at offices of Thompson and Simon, Hamilton sq, Birkenhead. Thompson, Birkenhead
 Howe, Thomas Lenthwaite, Cardiff, Photographer. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Williams and Williams, Church st, Cardiff. Jacob, Cardiff
 Hudson, Samuel, Belgrave, Leicester, Shoe Manufacturer. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Shires, Market st, Leicester
 Hughes, Thomas, Llandudno, Carnarvon, out of business. Mar 21 at 3 at offices of Ellis, Eastgate st, Chester
 Humphrey, Jonathan, Liverpool, Boot Manufacturer. Mar 26 at 3 at offices of Gibson and Co, South John st, Liverpool. Cotton, Liverpool
 Hunt, Alfred, Bruton, Somerset, Farmer. Mar 26 at 1 at the Wellington Hotel, Bruton. Benson and Carpenter, Bristol
 Hunt, Thomas, Liverpool, Ironmonger. Mar 27 at 2 at offices of Guiggin, Brunswick st, Liverpool
 Ingoldby, Robert Smith, Louth, Lincoln, Builder. Mar 26 at 3 at offices of Faulkner and Owen, Esgate, Louth
 James, James, Carnarvon, Draper. Mar 24 at 2 at the Waterloo Hotel, Piccadilly, Manchester (and not at the Wellington Hotel, as before advertised). Williams and Hughes
 Jeffreys, Alfred, Greystock place, Westminster, Licensed Victualler. Mar 26 at 1 at the Inns of Court Hotel, Holborn. Hope, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields
 Jolly, Anthony, Sunderland, Bootmaker. Mar 26 at 11 at offices of Tilley, West Sunnyside, Sunderland

Jones, John, Blaina, Mon. Draper. April 3 at 3 at offices of Crowther and Co, York st, Manchester. Rice-Harris, Tredegar
 Jones, Philip, Swansea, Builder. Mar 27 at 11 at offices of Smith and Lewis, Cambrian place, Swansea.
 King, James, Ladbroke grove rd, Notting hill, Boarding House Keeper. Mar 26 at 3 at 39, Borough High st, Southwark. Finch, Bridge chambers, Borough High st
 Kitchen, Joseph, Loughton, Putney, York, Draper. Mar 29 at 10.30 at offices of Cross, Telegraph chambers, Market st, Bradford
 Knott, William, Wigan, Foreman Moseler. Mar 29 at 10 at offices of Low, King st, Wigan
 Langbridge, Henry Charles, Hastings, Ironmonger. Mar 31 at 11 at the Bridge House Hotel, London Bridge. Langham, Hastings
 Laval, Marc, and Harry Millward Wright, Thavies inn, Watch Manufacturers. Mar 31 at 4 at offices of Foreman and Co, Gresham st. Attenborough, St Paul's churchyard
 Leonard, Henry William, Whiskey, Hereford, Timber Merchant. Mar 28 at 37, High Town, Hereford
 Lock, Charles, Brixton, Isle of Wight, Farmer. Mar 27 at 3 at the Lockwood, Mark, Ouse, or Wakefield, Joiner. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Burton, Wood st, Wakefield
 Margerson, John, Blackburn, Confectioner. Mar 26 at 3 at offices of Holland, Northgate, Blackburn
 Marley, Sarah Jane, Torquay, Draper. Mar 27 at 4 at Queen Victoria buildings, High st, Bristol. Lindop
 Marshall, George, Meadow row, New Kent rd, Refreshment Contractor. Mar 29 at 2 at offices of Swan, Camberwell New rd, Surrey
 McKendrick, Joseph, Woodhouse, Leeds, Carrier. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Tennant, Albion st, Leeds
 McKenzie, Richard, Bristol, Draper. Mar 28 at 12 at offices of Tribe and Co, Albion chambers, Bristol. Benson and Carpenter, Bristol
 Meek, William, Weymouth, Dorset, Nurseryman. Mar 31 at 11 at the Golden Lion Hotel, Weymouth. Howard, Weymouth
 Meek, William Joseph, Ozenove rd, Stoke Newington, Wholesale Fancy Stationer. Mar 31 at 2 at the Mason's Hall Tavern, Mason's avenue. Waring, Borough High st, Southwark
 Mellor, Charles Alfred, Thongbridge, or Huddersfield, Woolen Cloth Manufacturer. Mar 25 at 11 at offices of Laycock and Co, Lion arcade, Huddersfield
 Miles, Charles Croydon, Wigmore, Hereford, Butcher. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Moore, Corn sq, Leominster
 Miles, Job, Treforest, Glamorgan, Licensed Victualler. Mar 27 at 10.15 at 6, Church st, Pontypridd. Thomas
 Mills, Thomas, and Thomas Mills, Jun, Chippingham, Wilts, Drapers. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Keary and Co, Chippingham
 Michelson, Alexander, Brighton, Pawnbroker. Mar 27 at 1 at 93, Chapside. Lamb and Evert, Brighton
 Moore, Ezra, and Zachaeus Moore, Bingley, York, Worsted Spinners. Mar 25 at 2.30 at offices of Peel and Gaunt, Chapel lane, Bradford
 Moorhouse, James, Manchester, Flock Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Boote and Edgar, Booth st, Manchester
 Morgan, Matthew, Bishop Auckland, Wine Merchant. Mar 28 at 3 at the White Lion Hotel, Bishop Auckland. Proud, Bishop Auckland
 Murgans, John, Ystradgynlais, Carmarthen, Farmer. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Davies, Spilman st, Carmarthen
 Norton, Sydney, and Arthur Tate, Bradford, Stuff Manufacturers. Mar 24 at 11 at offices of Terry and Robinson, Market st, Bradford
 Neil, Robert, Upper Thames st, Paper Makers' Agent. April 2 at 3 at offices of Stophar and Rundle, Coleman st
 Nesbit, Thomas Oswald, Whiteley, Northumberland, out of business. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Mather and Co, Bank chambers, Moulsay st, Newcastle-upon-Tyne
 Newport, William, Freshham, Chester, Hotel Keeper. Mar 28 at 11 at offices of Ashton and Garratt, Frodham
 Ogden, John, Cannon st, Mantle Manufacturer. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Everett and Smith, Chespside. Philip, Walbrook
 Owen, George, Rhymney, Monmouth, Boot and Shoe Maker. Mar 28 at 2 at the Queen's Hotel, Newport. Harris, Tredegar
 Oxley, James, Frome, Somerset, Vat Maker. Mar 28 at 1 at the Grand Hotel, Broad st, Bristol. Crotwell and Co, Frome
 Palmer, Arthur, Leicester, Machinist. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Shires, Market st, Leicester
 Pace, Henry, Loxell, Birmingham, Boot Factor. Mar 28 at 11 at offices of Jacques, Cherry st, Birmingham
 Parker, Joseph, Joseph Parker, Jun, Matthew Parker, and Alfred Parker, Batley, York, Woollen Manufacturers. Mar 28 at 2 at the Batley Station Hotel, Batley. Scholesfield and Taylor
 Parks, Joseph, Wincham, Chester, Boiler Maker. April 1 at 3 at offices of Adleshaw and Warburton, Norfolk st, Manchester
 Paterson, James, Hadley Green, Builder. Mar 24 at 2 at the Red Lion Hotel, Barnet. George, Barnet
 Paynter, Emily, Greenwich, Kent, Fancy Stationer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Ald, Eschschep
 Pearce, Joseph, Leeds, Woollen Manufacturer. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Walker, South parade, Leeds
 Pickard, George, Cardiff, Grocer. Mar 31 at 13 at offices of Langley, Saint Mary st, Cardiff
 Pike, James William, Poole Hill, Bournemouth, Licensed Victualler. Mar 25 at 2 at the Pembroke Hotel, Bournemouth. Trevanion, Poole
 Price, Thomas, Bucknell, Salep, Farmer. April 2 at 12 at 9, Corve st, Ludlow. Marston
 Probyn, Henry Thomas, Tredworth, Gloucester, Grocer. Mar 24 at 3 at offices of Haines, Westgate chambers, Berkeley st, Gloucester
 Rade, Samuel, Hatton, Chester, Farmer. Mar 24 at 2.30 at offices of Bridgman and Co, Westminster buildings, Newgate st, Chester
 Rees, John, John, Old, St Luke's, Looking Glass Manufacturer. Mar 21 at 3 at offices of Cooper, Chancery lane
 Richards, John, Aberystwith, Cardigan, Stewards Foreman. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Jones, Great Dark Gate st, Aberystwith
 Richardson, Samuel, High Conciliffe, Durham, Lume Merchant. Mar 26 at 11 at offices of Dunn and Watson, Mechanics' yard, Darlington
 Richardson, Thomas Bentick, Bury St Edmunds, Professor of Music. Mar 27 at 12.30 at the Guildhall, Bury St Edmunds. Salmon and Son, Bury St Edmunds

Riley, John Benjamin, Nottingham, Slater. Mar 28 at 12 at offices of Beck, Middle pavement, Nottingham
 Roberts, Thomas, Festiniog, Merioneth, Tailor. Mar 26 at 1 at the Albion Hotel, Chester. Ellis, Festiniog
 Robertshaw, Eliza, Eiland, Halifax, Pot Maker. Mar 26 at 3 at the Pack Horse Inn, Huddersfield. Frankiam and Humphreys, Halifax
 Robinson, George, and Joseph Fielding, Bradford, York, Dyers. Mar 26 at 10.30 at offices of Peel and Gaunt, Chapel lane, Bradford
 Rodrick, Ernesto Antonio, North Shields, Ship Chandler. Mar 26 at 2 at offices of Wallace, Hutton chambers, Pilgrim st, Newcastle-upon-Tyne
 Roebuck, William, Cardiff, Grocer. April 2 at 12.30 at offices of Tribe and Co, Albion chambers, Bristol. Morgan and Scott, Cardiff
 Roper, William, Laiden, Essex, Farmer. Mar 26 at 3 at the White Hart Hotel, Romford. Sarridge and Co, Romford
 Rowland, Charles Samuel, Kattiesden, Suffolk, Dealer. April 3 at 12 at the King's Head Hotel, Stowmarket. Hayward and Sons, Needham Market
 Sadler, William, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Ironmonger. Mar 25 at 2 at offices of Hoyle and Co, Collingwood st, Newcastle-upon-Tyne
 Shepherd, Richard, Luton, Bedford, Licensed Victualler. Mar 31 at 12 at the King's Arms Hotel, Amptill. Shepherd and Ewon, Luton
 Sheppard, Thomas, Shaftesbury terrace, Hornsey Rise, Fishmonger. Mar 24 at 2 at offices of Brown and Sons, Finsbury place
 Shipton, Joseph, Mansfield, Fish Merchant. Mar 31 at 13 at offices of Brittle, St Peter's chambers, St Peter's gate, Nottingham
 Shons, Joseph, Jun, Whitechurch, Salep, Rope Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 1 at the Royal Hotel, Crews. Echev, Whitechurch
 Slocombe, Richard, Swansea, out of business. Mar 29 at 3 at offices of Woodward, Wind st, Swansea
 Smith, Alfred, Chivers Cotton, Warwick, Accountant. Mar 28 at 2 at the Newdegate Arms Hotel. Slingsby, Nuneaton
 Smith, Frederick Henry, Sydney st, Islington, Manager. Mar 25 at 2 at offices of Parke, Warwick st, Gray's inn
 Smith, Sadler, Ho well row, Shoreddick, Carman. Mar 24 at 3 at offices of Jenkinson, Eastcheap
 Southern, Richard Latimer, and William Francis Newall Quilty, Fenchurch st, Merchants. Mar 31 at 3 at Cannon st, Hotel. Trinders and Curtis-Hayward, Bishopgate st, within
 Stevens, James, Bournemouth, Auctioneer. Mar 22 at 2 at the Pembroke Hotel, Bournemouth. Trevanion, Poole
 Stovel, Thomas, Bristol, out of business. Mar 27 at 1 at offices of Tricks and Co, City chambers, Nicholas st, Bristol. Clifton, Bristol
 Strange, Henry, John Hudson Brodick, and Robert Henry Payne, Bethesda, Carnarvon, Brewers. Mar 26 at 12 at the Albion Inn, Chester. Hughes, Bangor
 Symonds, Joseph, Marehay, Derby, Licensed Victualler. Mar 26 at 3 at the Bell Hotel, Sadler gate, Derby. Hexthal, Derby
 Taylor, Eliza, Tryddryn, Flint, Innkeeper. Mar 24 at 3 at offices of Jones, Henblas st, Wrexham
 Taylor, Elizabeth, Fleetwood, Lancaster, Schoolmistress. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Forshaw and Farver, Cannon st, Preston
 Taylor, Westwood, Sherburn, York, Farm-r. Mar 26 at 3 at the New Inn, Sherburn. Rhodes, Sherburn
 Taylor, William, and Humphrey Taylor, Golear, York, Fancy Woollen Manufacturers. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Aisley and Hall, New st, Huddersfield
 Tennant, Charles, Upper st, Islington, Fancy Draper. April 7 at 2 at the Masons' Hall Tavern, Masons' avenue, Basinghall st. Kynaston and Gasquet, Queen st, Chespside
 Thomas, Colston, Liverpool, out of business. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of Isaac Stann, South John st, Liverpool. Carruthers, Liverpool
 Thomas, William Horsfall, Liverpool, Wine Merchant. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Morris and Jones, Harrington st, Liverpool
 Tidswell, John, Stretford, Lancaster, Grocer. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Heath and Sons, Swan st, Manchester
 Turley, Levi, Hallfields, Stafford, Grocer. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Stokes and Harper, Priory st, Dudley
 Unsworth, Daniel, Little Lever, Lancaster, Joiner. April 9 at 3 at offices of Hall, Acresfield, Bolton
 Wade, Joseph, Eccleshill, York, Provision Merchant. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Cotnam, Bank st, Bradford
 Warren, Charles Henry Chambers, Turner, Bedford, Builder. March 29 at 3 at offices of Sumson, Mill st, Bedford
 Warren, John Albert, Wigan, Lancaster, Boot Dealer. March 31 at 3 at offices of Wall, Clare ce chambers, Wallgate, Wigan
 Weaver, Richard, Manchester, Wine and Spirit Merchant. March 26 at 3 at offices of Fox, Princess st, Manchester
 Webber, James William, Heavitree, Devon, Mason. March 27 at 3 at offices of Andrew, Bedford circus, Exeter
 White, Joseph, Birmingham, Electro Plate Manufacturer. Mar 27 at 3 at Queen's Hotel, Stephenson place, New st, Birmingham. Fitter, Birmingham
 White, Philip, Halberton, Devon, Farmer. March 26 at 1.45 at Palmerston Hotel, Tiverton. Peberick
 Whitehall, Henry, Rhos, Denbigh, Beerhouse Keeper. March 27 at 3 at offices of Dixon, Duncan st, Birkenhead. Thompson, Birmingham
 Whittingham, John, Nantwich, Chester, Corn Miller. March 27 at 13 at Crews Arms Hotel, Crews. Leigh, Manchester
 Wilcox, Samuel, Melkham, Wilts, Corn Dealer. March 25 at 11 at Townhall, Melkham. Smith, Melkham
 Williamson, Thomas, Kingston-upon-Hull, Draper. March 24 at 3 at offices of Watson and Son, Parliament st, Kingston-upon-Hull
 Wilson, Agnes Taylor, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Confectioner. March 24 at 11 at offices of Dove, Northumberland court, Newcastle-upon-Tyne
 Witchell, Joseph Brown, Cardiff, Glamorgan, Bookmaker. March 27 at 3 at Queen's Hotel, Birmi gham. Morgan, Pontypridd
 Withycomb, Robert, Exeter, Butcher. March 28 at 13 at offices of Burch and Barnes, Palace gates, Exeter
 Woodbridge, John, Newwood Junction, Builder. March 28 at 11 at offices of Roberts, Coleman st, London
 Woolerton, John, Jun, Waltham-on-the-Wolds, Leicester, Tailor. March 31 at 3 at offices of Co, Long row, Market place, Nottingham
 Wormwell, James, Leeds, out of business. March 27 at 3 at offices of Rider, South parade, Leeds
 Yorke, George, Rock Ferry, Chester, Painter. March 31 at 12 at offices of Sim, South John st, Liverpool. Carruthers, Liverpool

Yorke, Thomas Simpson, Northampton, Shoe Manufacturer. March 27 at 3 at offices of Shoemitch, Newland
 Youde, Ferrus O'Connor, Chester, Hairdresser. March 28 at 12 at offices of Churton, Eastgate buildings, Chester

TUESDAY, Mar. 18, 1879.

Anderson, Alexander William, Mack's rd, Bermondsey, Oil Manufacturer. April 3 at 1 at 4, Borough High st, Southwark. Tanner, Circus pl, Finsbury circus
 Andrews, Edward, Bourn, Lincoln, Carpenter. Mar 28 at 11 at the Corn Exchange, Bourn. Deason and Wilkins, Peterborough
 Antill, John James, Gloucester, Grocer. Mar 29 at 3 at offices of Haines, Westgate chambers, Berkeley st, Gloucester
 Aslin, Avery, Chatham st, Battersea pk rd, Baker. April 5 at 11 at offices of Ambrose and Co, Grecian chambers, Devereux court, Temple
 Aspill, James, Newtown Linford, Leicester, Farmer. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Newton and Dickinson, Friar lane, Leicester
 Bale, William Edward, Leeds, Leather Dresser. Mar 31 at 3 at 1, Albion pl, Leeds. Markland and Davy, Leeds
 Ballance, Thomas Frederick, Norfolk st, Strand, Gent. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Everingham and Co, Clement's lane, Lombard st. West and Co, Cannon st
 Barfoot, James, Liverpool, Beer, Retailer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Horner, Stafford st, Liverpool
 Barlow, Charles John, Birkdale, Lancashire, Joiner. Mar 31 at 11 at the Committee Room, Devonshire buildings, Southport. Buck and Dicksons, Southport
 Bennett, Thomas, 'Mumbles, Glamorgan, Boat Builder. Mar 28 at 11 at offices of Thomas, York pl, Swansea
 Bere, George Abraham, Lapidford, Devon, Butcher. April 3 at 3 at the Mail Scoop Inn, Lapidford. Smith and Co
 Birch, Joseph, Marlborough, Bucks, Farmer. April 5 at 3 at offices of Rawson, High st, Gt Marlborough
 Birkett, Alfred, Wigan, Hatter. Mar 29 at 11 at offices of France, Church gate, Wigan
 Bolland, James, Ardwick, Lancashire, Grocer. April 7 at 3 at the Falstaff Hotel, Market pl, Manchester. Harris, Manchester
 Bould, William, Wednesbury, out of business. April 1 at 3 at offices of Sheldon, High st, Wednesbury
 Bradshaw, William Septimus, Preston, Watchmaker. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of Cooper, Fox st, Preston
 Brawin, Frederick, Grantham, Lincoln, Builder. April 1 at 11 at the Red Lion Hotel, Grantham. Thompson and Sons, Grantham
 Brighten, Edward Henry, Tottenham, Pastry Cook. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Brighten and Co, Bishopsgate without
 Briscoe, Elkanah Hoyle, Horsforth, nr Leeds, Silk Spinner. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of North and Sons, East parade, Leeds
 Brown, George, Leicester, Builder. April 2 at 12 at offices of the Trade Protection Society, New st, Leicester. Harvey, Leicester
 Burnham, Henry, Fenton, Stoke-upon-Trent, Grocer. Mar 26 at 11 at the Canning Inn, Fenton
 Camm, William, Stone, Gloucester, Miller. Mar 29 at 2 at offices of Scott, Eldon chambers, Berkeley st, Gloucester
 Challinor, R. H. B. B. B. Cheese Factor. April 9 at 12 at offices of Richardson, Wood st, Bolton
 Clark, Thomas Henry, Peer lane, Gt Tower st, Licensed Lighterman. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Preston, Mark lane
 Collins, Jeremiah, Devonport, China Dealer. Mar 28 at 1 at the Queen's Hotel, Queen st, Exeter. Dawe
 Dobbing, Anthony Chapman, Middleton-one-row, Durham. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Jackson and Jackson, Albert rd, Middlesbrough
 Driver, Walter, South Ossett, York, Plumber. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Lodge, Wood st, Wakefield
 Earnshaw, Joseph John, Healey, York, Coal Merchant. April 2 at 1 at offices of Wightman, Change alley, Sheffield
 Earnshaw, William Armynage, Motham st, Cavendish sq, no occupation. April 9 at 13 at offices of Lumley and Lumley, Old Jewry chambers
 Edmunds, John, Tenby, Mason. Mar 25 at the Townhall, Tenby, in lieu of the place originally named
 Edwards, William Henry, Nottingham, Timber Merchant. April 4 at 12 at offices of Brittle, St Peter's chambers, St Peter's gate, Nottingham
 Elliot, William Henry, Kenton st, Brunswick sq, Manufacturing Fancy Stationer. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Jenkins, Twistok st, Strand
 Emery, George, Birmingham, out of business. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Fallow, Cherry st, Birmingham
 Evans, David, Bryncren, Cardigan, Farmer. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Jones, Gt Park Gate st, Aberystwith
 Ewins, James, and Ernest James Ewins, Newport, Mon, Wine Merchants. April 4 at 2 at offices of Gibbs and Llewellyn, Tredgar pl, Newport
 Fairs, Charles, Gt Portland st, Oxford st, Footmaker. April 1 at 10 at offices of York and Brewer, Conduit st, Regent st
 Finigan, John, Manchester, Builder. April 9 at 3 at offices of Trappes and Lancashire, China buildings, Police st, Manchester
 Fletcher, George Joseph, Leicester, Cowkeeper. April 1 at 3 at offices of Shires, Market st, Leicester
 Foss, William Beard, Eaton Hastings, Berks, Farmer. April 1 at 1 at offices of Barnes, Wood st, Swindon
 Foster, Henry George, Stockton-on-Tees, Shoemaker. Mar 28 at 2.30 at the Bell Hotel, Leicester. Draper, Stockton-on-Tees
 Foster, Robert, Stockton-on-Tees, Cab Proprietor. April 2 at 3 at offices of Draper, Finkle st, Stockton-on-Tees
 Freeman, Henry Thomas, Hereford, Hereford, Publican. Mar 31 at 10.30 at offices of Garrold, Widemarsh st, Hereford
 Feller, Leonard, Alexandra rd, St John's Wood, Gent. April 2 at 3 at offices of Wyatt and Barrard, Arthur at west, London bridge
 Graham, John, Hensall, York, Malster. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of Whiteley, Albion st, Leeds
 Gibson, Mary Elizabeth, No thgate, York, Linen Draper. April 2 at 3 at offices of Curry, Cleckheaton
 Gidney, Jeremiah William, Lincoln st, Bow, Oil Merchant. April 8 at 3 at offices of Chappell and Co, Golden sq
 Gillett, John, Chorley, Lancashire, Cotton Spinner. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Boughton and Myers, Winckley st, Preston

Gosher, Thomas, Ware, Journeyman Butcher. April 2 at 11 at offices of Armstrong and Bowers, Fore st, Hertford
 Gosher, Walter Henry, Ware, Journeyman Butcher. April 2 at 12 at offices of Armstrong and Bowers, Fore st, Hertford
 Goodwin, Thomas Atley, Nottingham, Draper. April 8 at 12 at offices of Fraser, Wheelergate, Nottingham
 Gray, Harry Gooden, Polegate, Sussex, Coal Merchant. Mar 31 at 11 at the Goldridge Hotel, Terminus rd, Eastbourne. Kirkland
 Gray, John, Arcote, Manchester, Draper. April 4 at 3 at offices of Chorlton, Brassenss st, Manchester
 Green, Thomas, Wombwell, York, Colliery Labourer. April 2 at 3 at offices of Risdal, Chronicle chambers, Barnsley
 Hallam, William, Liverpool, Boat Dealer. April 4 at 3 at offices of Boote and Edgar, Booth st, Manchester
 Harding, Alfred, Birmingham, Coal Merchant. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Howlands, Corporation chambers, Ann st, Birmingham
 Hargreaves, Joseph, and James Hargreaves, Tooting, Lancashire, Contractors. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of Anderton, Garden st, Bury
 Harmon, Thomas, Southampton, Grocer. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Foreman and Co, Gresham st. Watts, Southampton
 Harris, John, Long Ichington, Warwick, Hay Dealer. Mar 28 at 11 at offices of Henderson, Church st, Warwick
 Harrison, William, jun, Leeds, Cab Driver. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Pullan, Bond st, Leeds
 Hewitt, Kemble, Cambridge, Drapers' Assistant. April 2 at 12 at the Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st. Ellison and Co, Petty Cur, Cambridge
 Hirst, Joseph, Cleckheaton, York, Wire Drawer. Mar 31 at 3 at the George Hotel, Heckmondwike. Jubbs
 Horsey, Hubert, Westbourne, Grocer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Trevanion, New st, Poole
 Hutton, William, Fremington, Devon, Farmer. April 2 at 11.30 at offices of Thorne, Castle st, Barnstable
 Hyde, Enos, Birmingham, Fishmonger. Mar 28 at 10.15 at offices of East, Temple st, Birmingham
 Jeremiah, John, Blackwood, Men, Colliery Manager. Mar 31 at 12.30 at the William the Fourth Inn, Commercial st, Newport. James, Merthyr Tydfil
 Jones, James, Wolverhampton, Lock Manufacturer. April 1 at 3 at offices of Wilcock, Queen's chambers, North st, Wolverhampton
 Jones, Richard, and William Henry Jones, Liverpool, Mineral Water Manufacturers. April 3 at 2 at offices of Lupton, Harrington st, Liverpool
 Kendall, Maurice Kauffman, Victoria grove, Brompton, Importer of French Furniture. Mar 25 at 10 at offices of Bigginden, Finsbury sq buildings, Finsbury sq
 Kay, William, Oldham, Confectioner. Mar 31 at 3 at the Falstaff Hotel, Market pl, Manchester. Cismett, jun, Manchester
 Keen, Robert, Carmarthen, Groom. Mar 29 at 2 at offices of Walters, St Mary st, Carmarthen
 Kenyon, John Thomas, Bury, Lancashire, Draper. April 1 at 11 at offices of Hunt and Co, Nicholas st, Manchester. Slater and Turnbull, Manchester
 King, George Birch, Birmingham, Milliner. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Coleman and Co, Colmore row, Birmingham
 King, Frederick, Lee Waste, Worcester, Beerhouse Keeper. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Waldron, High st, Brierley hill
 Laidlaw, John, Leeds, Draper. Mar 28 at 11 at offices of Terry and Robinson, Market st, Bradford
 Larn, Emma, Norwich, Confectioner. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Sals and Linay, Theatre st, Norwich
 Leffer, Charles, Queen's rd, St John's Wood, Professor of Gymnastics. April 7 at 4 at offices of York and Brewer, Conduit st, Regent st
 London, Robert, Adelaide pl, West Strand, Provision Merchant. April 2 at 12 at offices of Vanderpump, Gray's inn sq
 Lord, William, Littleborough, Lancashire, Hard Waste Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Standring, King st, Rochdale
 Low, William, Deverell st, New Kent rd, Fruit Salesman. Mar 25 at offices of Chippindale, Trinity st, Southwark
 Lowe, Alfred Thomas, Elliott's rd, Brixton, out of business. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Wheeler, Queen Victoria st
 Lowe, Samuel, Darlington, Durham, Schoolmaster. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Wilkes, Northgate, Darlington
 Mudge, John Thomas, Honiton, Devon, Brewer. April 3 at 3 at the Dolphin Hotel, Honiton. Stamp and Son, Honiton
 Malloy, Henry, Manchester, Furniture Broker. April 1 at 3 at offices of Casper, Brassenss st
 Maples, Charles, jun, Kingston-upon-Hull, Black Lead Manufacturer. Mar 28 at 2 at the George Hotel, Kingston-upon-Hull. Cross, Hull
 Massey, Joseph, Star corner, Bermondsey, Boot Manufacturer. Mar 29 at 11 at 42, Sardinia st, Lincoln's inn fields. Hicks, Victoria park rd
 McClellan, Helen, Huddersfield, Milliner. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Berry, Market place, Huddersfield
 Morris, Henry, Bethnal Green rd, Looking Glass Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Green, Queen st
 Morrison, William, Leeds, Draper. Mar 28 at 3 at the Law Institution, Albion place, Leeds
 Mortimer, Thomas, Leeds, Draper. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Pullan, Bond st, Leeds
 Newman, William, Great Rislington, Gloucester, Innkeeper. Mar 29 at 2 at the Bull Hotel, Burford. Kilby and Mace, Chipping Norton
 North, Albert James, Old Portswold, Hants, Baker. April 3 at 3 at offices of Lampert, High st, Southampton
 Norton, James, Scoulton, Norfolk, Farmer. April 3 at 3 at offices of Griggen and Robinson, Watton
 Olive, James, and Edward Olive, Addle st, Tailors. April 3 at 2.30 at offices of Pannell and Co, Girdlers' Hall chambers, Basinghall st. Pittman, Guildhall chambers
 Painter, Frederick Charles, Leicester, out of business. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Fowler and Co, Grey Friars chambers, Friar lane, Leicester
 Palframan, William, Hambleton, York, of no occupation. Mar 24 at 3 at offices of Crumbe, Stonegate
 Palmer, Joseph, Lower Tottenham, Middlesex, Cheesemonger. Mar 24 at 12 at offices of Wolfertan and Co, Ironmonger lane
 Parkes, Aquila, Cradley Heath, Stafford, Chainmaker. Mar 29 at 11.30 at offices of Homer, High st, Brierley hill

March 22
 Paris, Josiah,
 of Lay, Staple
 Parry, James,
 Sheppard, Q
 Patterson, Joh
 13 at offices
 Pearson, Atkin
 Mar 28 at 3
 Pepper, George
 offices of Geo
 Perkins, Willi
 offices of Geo
 Perkins, Sam
 offices of Geo
 Piers, John, L
 of Phillips, W
 Pollard, Arthur
 of Steer and
 bury
 Ravenhill, Th
 the Great
 Birmingham
 Reynolds, Si
 offices of E
 Rhodes, Jame
 Victoria H
 Riddell, Geo
 Butts, Bu
 Crowns r
 Roberts, He
 Fountain
 Robinson, Jo
 at offices
 Robinson, W
 at offices
 Road, Samu
 Mason's l
 Barge yar
 Russell, Sa
 11 at offic
 Savours, E
 offices of
 Seachman,
 at offices
 the King's
 market
 Sharpe, Ro
 hall Tave
 Short, I. a
 April 1
 Simonds, C
 28 at 11
 Slings, E
 1 at 11 a
 Sles, Henr
 offices of
 Sligh, Henr
 offices of
 Birmingham
 Smith, Henr
 offices of
 Smith, Jan
 of Budd
 Smith, Th
 of Ashb
 Sparling,
 at 3 at
 Urry, B
 Steel, W
 Wilkins
 Stevenson
 offices of
 Sutton, T
 of Boat
 Thatcher
 11 at
 Tipper, J
 of Charl
 Turner, J
 chant
 bury of
 Turner, J
 of Budd
 Unmpley
 Bell, C
 Valentini
 offices
 Vaughan
 the At
 Verren,
 offices
 Wagstaff
 3 at
 Walker,
 3 at
 Ward, of
 at 4
 Webb, of
 4 offices
 Westby
 Gailor
 Westhe
 Bridg
 Wheel
 White
 Han

Parry, Josiah, Twickenham, Middlesex, Grocer. Mar 28 at 4 at offices of Lay, Staple Inc, Holborn

Parry, James, Ley, Gloucester, Farmer. April 2 at 2 at offices of Sheppard, Queen st, Gloucester

Patterson, John, Ratne, Manchester, Berthouse keeper. April 3 at 12 at offices of Blackway, Deansgate, Manchester

Pearce, Atkinson, and James Pearson, Bolton, Lancashire, Joiners. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Butler and Pinney, Maudslayi st, Bolton

Peyper, George, Loughborough, Leicester, Tailor. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Orston and Dickinson, Friar lane, Leicester

Petkin, William Joshua, Bolton, Leeds, Architect. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Gordon, Bond st, Leeds. Harland, Leeds

Petkins, Samuel Edward, Northampton, Grocer. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Becke, Dergate, Northampton

Perr, George, Wednesbury, Stafford, Bookseller. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Smith, Walsall st, Wednesbury

Piet, John, Dawley, Salop, Licensed Victualler. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Phillips and Co, Shifnal

Pollard, Arthur, Alderney rd, Mile End, Oilman. April 7 at 3 at offices of Steer and Knight, Basinghall st. Mason, North buildings, Finsbury

Ravenhill, Thomas, Birmingham, Timber Merchant. Mar 28 at 3 at the Great Western Hotel, Monmouth st, Birmingham. Fallows, Birmingham

Reynolds, Simon, Truro, Cornwall, Upholsterer. April 3 at 11 at offices of Paull, Quay st, Truro

Rhodes, James, Roundhay, nr Leeds, Woolstapler. April 2 at 3 at the Victoria Hotel, Bradford. Chadwick and Sons, Dewsbury

Riddell, George, and Thomas Riddell, Churchyard rd, Newington Butts, Builders. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of Sadler and Co, Three Crowns sq, Southwark. Arnold, Townhall chambers, Southwark

Roberts, Henry Buecleote, Gloucester, Butcher. April 1 at 3 at the Fountain Inn, Westgate st, Gloucester. Jackson, Stroud

Robinson, Joseph, Newcastle-under-Lyme, Clock Maker. Mar 25 at 11 at offices of Griffith, Lad lane, Newcastle-under-Lyme

Robinson, William, Heaton, Middlesex, Corn Merchant. April 7 at 3 at offices of Stocken and Jupp, Lime at sq

Road, Samuel, Lambeth walk, Lambeth, Printer. Mar 31 at 3 at the Mason's Hall Tavern, Mason's avenue, Basinghall st. Kilvington, Barge yard, Bucklersbury

Russell, Samuel Parfitt, Bath, Journeyman Wheelwright. April 7 at 11 at offices of Bartrum, Northumberland buildings

Saunders, Elizabeth, Swansea, Licensed Victualler. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Jellicoe, Prospect place, Swansea

Scotchmer, Henry Law, Stowupland, Suffolk, Farmer. April 3 at 12 at the King's Head Hotel, Stowmarket. Marriott and Hayward, Stowmarket

Sharpe, Robert, Middlesborough, Grocer. April 1 at 12 at the Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st, Robson, Middlesborough

Short, Isiah, and Benjamin Har'ey, West Bromwich, Coalmasters. April 1 at 10.30 at offices of Shakespeare, Church st, Oldbury

Simonds, Charles Christopher, Fitcham, Norfolk, Farm Bailiff. Mar 28 at 11 at the King's Head Hotel, Diss. Musket and Garrod, Diss

Simpson, Edward Thomas, Alnmouth, Northumberland, Grocer. April 3 at 11 at offices of Tate, St Michael's lane, Alnwick

Slate, Henry, Barton hill, Bristol, Cider Merchant. Mar 29 at 11 at offices of Sibly, Exchange West, Bristol

Sleigh, Henry, Birmingham, Boot Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Lukes and Sharp, Ann st, Birmingham. Sargent and Son, Birmingham

Smith, Henry Venn Brandram, Dudley, Ship Broker. April 2 at 11 at offices of Lockyer, Gresham buildings, Basinghall st

Smith, James Gaw, Eccles, Lancashire, Joiner. April 2 at 11 at offices of Budington and Ball, Lloyd st, Manchester

Smith, Thomas, Shepton, Stafford, Innkeeper. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Ashmole, Market st, Litchfield

Sparling, William, Bolton, Lancashire, Cotton Waste Dealer. April 3 at 3 at the Mitre Hotel, Cathedral gates, Manchester. Dowling and Urry, Bolton

Steel, William, Shambles, York, Butcher. April 2 at 12 at offices of Wilkinson, St Helen's sq, York

Stevens, Thomas, Leicester, Boot Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Harvar, Seiborne buildings, Millstone lane, Leicester

Sutton, Thomas, West Bromwich, Shoe Dealer. Mar 28 at 12 at offices of Boston and Robinson, Church st, Colmore row, Birmingham

Tatchell, Elizabeth Jane, Oxford, Lodging house keeper. April 8 at 11 at offices of Mallam, High st, Oxford

Tipper, John, Willenhall, Stafford, Bookseller. Mar 29 at 11 at offices of Clark, New rd, Willenhall

Turner, James Thomas, Central Market, Smithfield, Provision Merchant. Mar 31 at 2 at the Cannon at Hotel. Gush and Phillips, Finsbury circus

Turner, John, Barnsley, York, Boat Builder. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Dibb and Co, Regent st, Barnsley

Umpleby, Frank, West Hartlepool, Tailor. April 4 at 3 at offices of Bell, Church st, West Hartlepool

Valentine, Thomas, Manchester, Furniture Broker. April 3 at 3 at offices of Addleshaw and Warburton, Norfolk st, Manchester

Vaughan, Thomas Curoton, Tewkesbury, Gloucester. Mar 31 at 3 at the Anchor Hotel, Tewkesbury. Cave, Bromyard nr Worcester

Verren, John, Bideford, Devon, Cabinet Maker. Mar 31 at 13 at offices of Rooker and Bazeley, Bridgeand st, Bideford

Wagstaffe, Charles Robert, Richmond, Surrey, Tailor. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Chapman and Bendle, Gresham buildings, Basinghall st

Walker, Charles, Saltley, nr Birmingham, out of business. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Parry, Bennett's hill, Birmingham

Ward, George, Copenhagen st, Caledonian rd, Lath Render. April 10 at 4 at offices of York and Brewer, Conduit st, Regent st

Webb, George, Farrance st, Bardett rd, Builder. April 7 at 11 at offices of Wolferstan and Co, Ironmonger lane

Westby, Jane, Leicester, Cutler. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Wright, Gallowtree gate, Leicester

Westland, James, Lydford, Devon, Gent. Mar 27 at 12 at offices of Brigman, Church lane, Tavistock

Wheeler, Charles, Edwinstrey, Liverpool, Cotton Broker. April 4 at 3 at offices of Whitley and Maddock, Water st, Liverpool

Whitehead, John, Mossley, York, Nail Maker. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Hanchett and Watson, Church lane, Oldham

Whiteley, James, Ovensden, York, Machine Maker. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Jubb, Harrison rd, Halifax

Wigfield, Benjamin, Darlington, Durham, Shoemaker. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Wilkes, Northgate, Darlington

Williamson, Robert, Leeds, Draper. Mar 28 at 12 at offices of Terry and Robinson, Market st, Bradford

Wills, William, Warwick, Shopkeeper. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Sanderson, Church st, Warwick

Wilson, Joseph, Aston-juxta-Birmingham, Clerk. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Duke, Temple row, Birmingham

Winkley, Edward, West Hulme, Manchester, Boot Maker. April 3 at 11 at offices of Young, Princess st, Manchester

Wood, Edward, Widnes, Lancashire, Provision Dealer. April 4 at 3 at offices of Connor, Victoria st, Liverpool. Browne, Liverpool

Woollett, Henry, Erdington, Warwick, China Manufacturers' Manager. Mar 28 at 12 at offices of Hodgson and Haigh, Waterloo st, Birmingham

Wright, James, jun, Hertford, Pork Butcher. Mar 28 at 12 at offices of Armstrong and Bowers, Fore st, Hertford

Wright, Richard, Seagrave rd, Fulham, Grocer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Bradford and Hare, Old Broad st

Young, William, Southampton, Common Brewer. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Hickman, Albion place, Southampton

SCHWEITZER'S COCOATINA.

Anti-Dyspeptic Cocoa or Chocolate Powder.
Guaranteed Pure Soluble Cocoa of the Finest Quality, with the excess of fat extracted.
The Faculty pronounce it "the most nutritious, perfectly digestible beverage for Breakfast, Lancheon, or Supper, and invaluable for Invalids and Children."

Highly commended by the entire Medical Press.
Being without sugar, spice, or other admixture, it suits all palates, keeps better in all climates, and is four times the strength of cocoas thickened yet weakened with starch, &c., and in reality cheaper than such Mixtures.

Made instantaneously with boiling water, a teaspoonful to a Breakfast Cup, costing less than a halfpenny.

COCOATINA A LA VANILLE is the most delicate, digestible, cheapest Vanilla Chocolate, and may be taken when richer chocolate is prohibited.

In tin packets at 1s. 6d., 3s., &c., by Chemists and Grocers.
Charities on Special Terms by the Sole Proprietors,
H. SCHWEITZER & CO., 10, Adam-street, London, W.C.

PAINLESS DENTISTRY.

MR. G. H. JONES,

SURGEON DENTIST.

57, GREAT RUSSELL-STREET, BLOOMSBURY

(Immediately opposite the British Museum).

Will be glad to forward his new Pamphlet gratis and post-free, which explains the only perfectly painless system of adapting ARTIFICIAL TEETH (protected by Her Majesty's Royal Letters Patent), which have obtained five Prize Medals, London 1862, Paris 1867, Philadelphia 1876, Vienna 1873, and New York 1853.—Consultation daily free.

EDE AND SON

ROBE MAKERS

BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT,

To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench, Corporation of London, &c.

SOLICITORS' AND REGISTRARS' GOWNS.

BARRISTERS' AND QUEEN'S COUNSEL'S DITTO.

CORPORATION ROBES UNIVERSITY & CLERGY GOWNS, &c

ESTABLISHED 1699.

94. CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.

THE MUTUAL DRESS SUPPLY ASSOCIATION

(Limited), 326, Regent-street, London, W., for selling to its shareholders, on the co-operative principle, every description of ladies', gentlemen's, and children's dress.

The Directors have the satisfaction to announce that business has now commenced in all the Departments.

THE MUTUAL DRESS SUPPLY ASSOCIATION

(Limited).—Since the issue of the prospectus in February last a numerous and influential body of subscribers has joined the Association.

To instance an advantage accruing to shareholders, it is estimated that they effect an average saving of 30 per cent. on their purchases.

The directors contemplate discontinuing the issue of shares at par, carrying bonus privileges, after the 31st inst.

By securing shares with bonus privileges subscribers will ultimately hold them free of cost.

Applications to be made to the Secretary.

By order.

326, Regent-street, London, W.

PROFESSIONAL or PRIVATE RESIDENCE.

—To be LET at once, on lease, 53, Great Russell-street, facing the British Museum, also premises in the rear, if required, accessible by covered way at from Gilber-street, all newly decorated, &c. Gas fittings and other valuable fixtures included in the rent. No premium required.—Apply next door (No. 57), to Mr. G. H. Jones, Surgeon-Dentist.

CLERICAL, MEDICAL, and GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.

18, St. James's-square, London, S.W.
City Branch: Mansion House Buildings, E.C.

FINANCIAL RESULTS.

Annual Income	£279,000
Assurance Fund	£2,181,000
Bonus added to Policies in January, 1877	£357,000
Total Claims by Death paid	£3,897,000
Subsisting Assurances and Bonuses	£5,375,000

DISTINCTIVE FEATURES.

CHEST of half the first five Annual Premiums allowed on whole-term policies on healthy Lives not over 60 years of age.
ENDOWMENT ASSURANCES granted, without Profit, payable at death or on attaining a specified age.
INVALID Lives assured at rates proportioned to the risk.
CLAIMS paid thirty days after proof of death.

REPORT, 1878.

The 54th Annual Report, just issued, and the latest Balance Sheets rendered to the Board of Trade, can be obtained at either of the Society's Offices, or of any of its Agents.

GEORGE CUTCLIFFE, Actuary and Secretary.

REVERSIONARY AND LIFE INTERESTS in Land or Funded Property or other Securities and ANNUITIES purchased, or Loans thereon granted, by the

EQUITABLE REVERSIONARY INTEREST SOCIETY
19, LANCASTER-PLACE, WATERLOO-BRIDGE, STRAND,
Established 1835. Paid-up Capital, £480,000.

If required Interest on Loans may be capitalized.

F. S. CLAYTON, } Joint
C. H. CLAYTON, } Secretaries.

NORTHERN COUNTIES OF ENGLAND FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY (LIMITED).

Head Office: Fennell-street, Manchester.

CAPITAL—ONE MILLION STERLING.

PAID-UP AND INVESTED - £230,000

Being the second largest Paid-up Capital of any Company in the Kingdom purely devoted to fire business.

ANNUAL INCOME upwards of - £100,000

The Northern Counties of England, being essentially a Non-Tariff Company, is in no way bound by the fixed rates of the Tariff Companies, but rates each risk on its own individual merits, giving every consideration to superiority in construction and management, and making a liberal allowance for fire-extinguishing appliances.

Surveys made and Rates quoted Free of Charge.

Special terms allowed to Solicitors for the introduction of Business.

JAMES E. CRABTREE, General Manager.

MORTGAGE DEBENTURES AT 5, 3½, AND 6 PER CENT.

THE NEW ZEALAND AGRICULTURAL COMPANY, LIMITED, are prepared to receive applications for the Debentures of the present issue not yet allotted. The Company can now undertake all kinds of agency business and the management of properties in the colony. The necessary arrangements for sending out Cadets to learn Agriculture and Sheep Farming and for enabling parties to settle on the Company's Estates are under consideration, and particulars will shortly be published.

(By order) JOHN SCOTT CAVELL, Secretary.

116, Cannon-street, Feb. 14, 1879.

THE AGRA BANK (LIMITED).

Established in 1853.—Capital, £1,000,000.

HEAD OFFICE—NICHOLAS-LANE, LOMBARD-STREET, LONDON.
BRANCHES in Edinburgh, Calcutta, Bombay, Madras, Kurrachee, Agra, Lahore, Shanghai, Hong Kong.

CURRENT ACCOUNTS are kept at the Head Office on the terms customary with London bankers, and interest allowed when the credit balance does not fall below £100.

DEPOSITS received for fixed periods on the following terms, viz.:—
At 5 per cent. per annum, subject to 12 months' notice of withdrawal.
For shorter periods deposits will be received on terms to be agreed upon.

BILLS issued at the current exchange of the day on any of the Branches of the Bank free of extra charge; and approved bills purchased or sent for collection.

SALES AND PURCHASES effected in British and foreign securities, in East India Stock and loans, and the safe custody of the same undertaken.

Interest drawn and army, navy, and civil pay and pensions realized.
Every other description of banking business and money agency.
British and Indian transacted.

J. THOMSON, Chairman.

TO SOLICITORS and Others.—Three capital Floors to be Let, 5, Foster-lane, Chesham. Rent £160. No taxes. Apply on premises.

SOLICITORS obliged to burn the midnight oil will find the Queen's Reading Lamp, fitted with Hinrichs' non-combustible wick, a great comfort. No trimming required. To be had of all lamp dealers.—Wholesale, 42, Cannon-street, London.

MESSRS. DEBENHAM, TEWSON & FARMER'S

LIST of ESTATES and HOUSES to be SOLD or LET, including London Residences, Town and Country Residences, Hunting and Shooting Quarters, Farms, Ground Rents, Rent Charges, House Property and Investments generally, is published on the first day of each month, and may be obtained, free of charge, at their offices, 80, Chesham, E.C., or will be sent by post in return for two stamps.—Particulars for insertion should be received not later than four days previous to the end of the preceding month.

AN IMPORTANT CONVENIENCE TO LAW WRITERS AND SOLICITORS.

STEPHENS' SCARLET INK FOR STEEL PENS.

This new Ink supplies the demand continually made but never before met, for a Red Ink which is uninjured by Steel or other Metallic Pens. Steel Pens left in this ink for months do not impair the beauty of its colour, nor are the Pens in the least corroded by it. The existing Red Inks rapidly destroy Steel Pens, and lose their red colour if used with other than Gold or Quill Pens. This new colour is a very rich scarlet red of great beauty. The colour of this ink is not affected by use upon parchment, and is consequently of great value to Solicitors and Draughtsmen.

Sold in stone bottles, retail at 1s., 2s., 3s.; and Imperial Quarts at 40 ounces at 6s. each. Also in glass bottles at 6d. and 1s. each.

PARTRIDGE & COOPER.

WHOLESALE AND RETAIL STATIONERS

192, Fleet-street, and 1 & 2, Chancery-lane, London, E.C.

Carriage paid to the Country on Orders exceeding 20s.

DRAFT PAPER, 5s., 6s., 6d., 7s., 6d., 7s., 9d., and 9s., 9d. per ream.

BRIEF PAPER, 15s., 6d., 17s., 6d., and 23s., 6d. per ream.

FOOLSCAP PAPER, 10s., 6d., 14s., 6d., and 18s., 6d. per ream.

CREAM-LAID NOTE, 3s., 4s., and 5s. per ream.

LARGE CREAM-LAID NOTE, 4s., 6d., 6s., 6d., and 8s. per ream.

LARGE BLUE NOTE, 3s., 6d., 4s., 6d., and 6s., 6d. per ream.

ENVELOPE, CREAM OR BLUE, 3s., 9d., 4s., 6d., and 6s., 6d. per 1000.

THE "TEMPLE" ENVELOPE, extra secure, 9s., 6d. per 1000.

FOOLSCAP OFFICIAL ENVELOPES, 1s., 9d. per 100.

PARTRIDGE & COOPER'S VELLUM WOVE CLUB-HOUSE NOTE, 9s., 6d. per ream.

This comparable Paper has raised up a host of worthless imitations. Purchasers are particularly requested to observe that each sheet bears the fac-simile water-mark, "PARTRIDGE & COOPER'S VELLUM-WOVE CLUB-HOUSE PAPER," without which none is genuine.

INDENTURE SKINS, Printed and Machine-ruled, 2s., 3d. each, 30s. per doz., 12s. per roll.

SECONDS OR FOLLOWERS, Ruled, 2s., 1d. each, 24s. per dozen, 11s. per roll.

RECORDS OR MEMORIALS, 8d. each, 7s., 6d. per dozen.

Ledgers Day Books, Cash Books, Letter or Minute Books.

An immense stock in various bindings.

LAW COPYING OFFICE.

75, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON, W.C.

NO CHARGE FOR PAPER.

DOCUMENTS COPIED 1ld. per folio. Engrossed 2d. per folio.

PAPERS COPIED.

LAW and GENERAL PRINTING.

LAW and GENERAL LITHOGRAPHY.

LAW and GENERAL STATIONERY.

PARCHMENT.—Indentures ruled and red lined for 15, 20, and 30 folios, 2s. dozen; Followers, 22s. dozen.

ACCOUNT BOOKS of Every Description.

DIE SINKING and STAMPING.

COPYING and LEVER PRESSES.

EDWARD TANN,

75, CHANCERY LANE, & 308, HIGH HOLBORN.

Samples forwarded. Estimates given.

The Companies Acts, 1862 & 1867.

Every requisite under the above Acts supplied on the shortest notice.

The BOOKS and FORMS kept in stock for immediate use MEMORANDA and ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION speedily printed in the proper form for registration and distribution. SHARE CERTIFICATES, DEBENTURES, &c., engraved and printed. OFFICIAL SEALS designed and executed. No charge for sketches. Companies Fee Stamps Railway Registration Forms.

Solicitors' Account Books.

RICHARD FLINT & CO.

Stationers, Printers, Engravers, Registration Agents, &c., 49, Fleet street, London, E.C. (corner of Serjeants'-inn). Annual and other Returns Stamped and Filed.

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY. Pure, Mild and Mellow, Delicious and most Wholesome. Universally recommended by the Profession. The Cream of Old Irish Whiskies.

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY. Dr. Hassall says—"Soft and Mellow, Pure, well Matured, and of very excellent quality."

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY. Gold Medal, Paris Exhibition, 1878; Dublin Exhibition, 1865, the Gold Medal. 20, Great Titchfield Street, London, W.